

Re: polar and cartesian

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-09/msg02198.html>

- *From:* israel@xxxxxxxxxxx (Robert Israel)
 - *Date:* 12 Sep 2006 04:54:24 GMT
-

In article <1158034018.049981.21010@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, vicky <vikash.vks123@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Friends

As we know relation between polar and cartesian co-ordinates are

$$x=r*\cos(t) \text{ -----(1) // sorry i}$$

use t for angle theta//

Nothing to be sorry for...

$$y=r*\sin(t) \text{ -----(2)}$$

$$r^2= x^2+y^2 \text{ -----(3)}$$

$$t= \tan^{-1}(y/x) \text{ -----(4)}$$

No, you can take $t = \arctan(y/x)$ if $x > 0$, but if $x < 0$ you want $\arctan(y/x) (+/-) \pi$ (depending on which interval you want it to be in), and if $x = 0$ the arctan is undefined.

$$\text{By equation (1) } x_r = \cos(t) \text{ -----(5)}$$

OK, if x_r means partial derivative wrt r (keeping t constant).

By equation (3) differentiating w.r.to r we get

$$x_r = \sec(t) \text{ -----(6)}$$

"We" don't. The partial derivative of (3) wrt r (keeping t constant) is

$$\begin{aligned} 2r &= 2x x_r + 2y y_r \\ &= 2r \cos(t) x_r + 2r \sin(t) y_r \end{aligned}$$

Robert Israel israel@xxxxxxxxxxx

Re: polar and cartesian

Department of Mathematics <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~israel>
University of British Columbia Vancouver, BC, Canada