

# Re: FLTMA: A little group theory

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-10/msg02277.html>

---

- *From:* "The Dougster" <DGoncz@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* 9 Oct 2006 05:09:37 -0700
- 

Gerry Myerson wrote:

In article <1160364714.867753.175300@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, "The Dougster" <DGoncz@xxxxxxx> wrote:

Thank you Gerry. Why reinvent the wheel, right?

Well, at least the wheel has been successful. You're trying to reinvent the Edsel.

Our text stops at Galios theory.

You know, the first time you did this, I let it go. I figured it was just a typo, and Usenet etiquette frowns on making a big deal out of a typo. But now, I'm convinced that you think this is the correct spelling of Galois. Success in mathematics depends on attention to detail, and maybe you can start here.

Since I've brought up Usenet etiquette, perhaps I could ask you to refrain from top-posting.

Do you or any reader think the proposition at hand to be provable?

Provable, by you? Not a chance.

If so, then would Galios theory, another set of theories, or Galios representations be the tool or tools to use?

Aargh!

Re: FLTMA: A little group theory

—  
Gerry Myerson (gerry@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx) (i -> u for email)

No, it really is a typo. Galois's bio is in our text.

Doug

.