

Re: counter example in analysis

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-11/msg03830.html>

- *From:* Virgil <virgil@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 13 Nov 2006 13:09:32 -0700
-

In article <455879AC.2060501@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, Eckard Blumschein <blumschein@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 11/8/2006 9:06 PM, Virgil wrote:

In Cantor's understanding all rational and even all real numbers exist.

And Eckard sets himself up as knowing better, while clearly ignorant of the mathematical basis for Cantor's work.

I read Cantor's original papers. His basis is just intuitive guesswork.

His "guesses" have been confirmed.

This is however shallow reasoning because there is no limit (except such phantasm like omega) to the rationals.

All numbers are equally phantasms.

This deliberately misleading claim does not differentiate between countable genuine numbers and uncountable fictions.

It does not differentiate between countable fictions and uncountable fictions, since numbers are all equally fictitious, made up things with no physical existence. They are creatures of imagination, and as such

Re: counter example in analysis

are all equally imaginary, having no ore reality than unicorns or basilisks.