

Re: Who is Jesus Christ

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-11/msg04991.html>

- *From:* "zuhair" <zaljohar@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 18 Nov 2006 08:59:10 -0800
-

Bob Kolker wrote:

zuhair wrote:>

I agree, but you see Bob, the problem with myths is that they have intrinsic way of evolution, that is most of the time non practical. Even if the original founder of the myth , had good intentions, and his sole intention was ethical, yet the ideation itself has its own way of development that is very difficult to contain , especially when a myth is believed as a religion. it usually turns to be disasterous at the end.

It worked fairly well for Judaism. Jews have survived over 3000 years and against steep odds. It remains to be seen what will become of Christianity and Islam.

Bob Kolker

Well, there is really no great difference between these three religions, All are myths.

I think that people should base their ethical standards on objective material. for example the idea of mutual benefit. IF a metaphysical being is necessary for some to believe in, then I think , it should be contemplated only in general manner, that this being is God, the final aim of that life is towards the good, were good is a sort of cosmic mutual benefit. etc , etc,.....

Respecting others and viewing your interests within the promotion those of others, and the others viewin their interest towards promoting your interest, i.e Mutual benefit. I think this is the nucleus of every good moral system. and perhaps even of every good metaphysical speculation necessary for people to belief (i.e. Religion).

IF a good metaphysical being should exist, then he should approve that. And he should respect us when we say that he is otherwise incognito.

Re: Who is Jesus Christ

since he chose to lie behind curtains, for purpose best known for himself, then we have no position to speak of him other than that of him being generally good and support mutual benefit, Love , Happiness, kindness, etc.....

Zuhair

.