

Re: Moebius Band is not homeomorphic with a Torus

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-11/msg05182.html>

- *From:* lrudolph@xxxxxxxxxx (Lee Rudolph)
 - *Date:* 19 Nov 2006 12:15:18 -0500
-

David Marcus <DavidMarcus@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

Narasimham wrote:

....

David Marcus wrote:

....

However, two surfaces can be homotopic without being homeomorphic.

You have to give some more examples (of course other than the present one) and its logic, if there is something that can be brought in here.

Do you mean you want an example of surfaces that are homotopic, but not homeomorphic?

A nice example, which ought to (but probably won't) clear up N.'s confusion, is the following. Take that inner tube he had a while ago, and rip a patch off it. This physical object, which many people would be content to abstract to S , a torus with an open disc removed—i.e., an orientable surface of genus 1 with a single boundary component—*does* have thickness, and so it would appear that N. would like to abstract it differently, as the "square tube" (if I understand his term correctly) of that surface, in other words, as a trivial I -bundle over S , which is a 3-dimensional solid with piecewise smooth boundary comprising three parts—a (very narrow) annulus (which is the restriction of the I -bundle to the boundary of S) and two copies of S (the "inside" and "outside" surfaces of the inner tube). Of course homeomorphisms don't care about corners, so topologically this solid is a "handlebody of genus 2", bounded by a surface F of genus 2 (with empty boundary); the residuum of the corners is a decomposition (what Gabai calls a "suturing") of

Re: Moebius Band is not homeomorphic with a Torus

F into an annulus and the two (as it happens, homeomorphic) components of its complement.

Now start again, this time from a flat disk from the interior of which two smaller open disks with disjoint closures have been removed. A physical counterpart of this might be a mask with two eye-holes (and no mouth or nostrils), or a pair of underpants (with no fly). Again, many of us would be content to abstract the mask or pants to S' , the original orientable surface of genus 0 with three boundary components; but N , presumably, would once again take the flat tube. This time we *again* get a 3-dimensional handlebody of genus 2, but now the sutures are different: there are 3 of them.

The process of replacing S or S' with a trivial I -bundle over it does not change the homotopy type, and indeed creates two homeomorphic total spaces; yet (of course!) S is not homeomorphic to S' .

Put differently, cancellation doesn't work for homeomorphism types: you can have $A \times X = B \times X$ with X non-empty (even, like I , contractible) and yet not have $A = B$.

Lee Rudolph

.