

Re: JSH: One mystery remains

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-12/msg03236.html>

- *From:* "T.H. Ray" <thray123@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 10 Dec 2006 08:31:02 EST
-

I want to know why any of you would think that I am wrong at this point.

Because mainly, you have provided no context in which one could even possibly think that you are right.

One does not just "throw out some ideas" and "play around with some concepts" and come up with what is, to a mathematician, a recognizable proof.

Proof has form and meaning. You have to supply that, not your reader.

Forget the calculational examples. Even aside from the fact that many of them are clearly wrong, they have no meaning outside of theorem and theory.

Mr. Harris, it really is not all that difficult to learn proof methods. Yes, it is hard to execute them, and hard to know which to apply to the problem at hand. If your object is to convince anyone that you know what you are doing, however, you must challenge yourself to learn the language.

Tom

This is very important.

Why would any of you given what has happened from the publication in the math journal, to my having this neat prime counting function find, to a very simple explanation with a second proof that removes any mathematical doubt about my research, think I'm wrong?

Re: JSH: One mystery remains

Or if you don't think any of that is true, why not?

Why do you think people argue with me all the time when it's usually the same people replying to similar arguments over a period of literally years?

How do you explain all of that thinking I am wrong?

What do you think is actually going on here?

Your answers are very important.

I need to know how you are thinking here.

James Harris