

# Re: JSH: My Factoring Paper Accepted

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-01/msg03256.html>

---

- *From:* "Jonathan Hoyle" <[jonhoyle@xxxxxxx](mailto:jonhoyle@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 16 Jan 2007 16:33:59 -0800
- 

Nomen Nescio wrote:

Note: The author of this message requested that it not be archived. This message will be removed from Groups in 2 days (Jan 19, 1:00 am).

The real frustration for me when dealing with sci.math regulars is the insistence on lying about the details.

So let me set the record straight on my paper on non-polynomial factorization, which was the one published and then withdrawn by the editors after some convincing emails by some sci.math regulars.

If you were reading about all of this as ancient history, you would be amazed that any sensible people could ever fight against the result, and be shocked that denial could last for years.

You people have no clue about what's coming as you are not really mathematicians, so you look around see a possibly unsteady world but keep thinking that it is rock stable in ways it's not.

Now I came back, after I got over the anger enough to even bother with the sci.math newsgroup again, and argued with at least one of the people who falsely attacked my paper to the SWJPAM editors, and conceded all of his main points, and still showed that my conclusion held.

It doesn't matter if I don't convince you now, as the fix is coming, and the answer is that the people who don't get it, are the people who don't survive, so hey, get it all out now, as soon there will be a lot of quiet.

But this isn't ancient history—it's right now—and for some of you the truth means you did not get a valid doctorate, or that argument you thought was a brilliant proof was not, not brilliant, and not a proof.

My projections indicate that without substantial thinking on the part of humanity approximately 6 billion people will die within the next 30 years.

## Re: JSH: My Factoring Paper Accepted

If I am correct, on my blog is a rather simple approach that could solve the factoring problem and lead to a breakdown in current Internet security.

I am growing more concerned about radical elements within your group who may get the wrong idea and try to turn things in a way that might satisfy some of the sicker among you, but which are completely against rational discourse on any level.

These days will seem like paradise if you survive the next 10 because with those numbers the odds are that none of you reading this post will be alive.

It's that close.

I had some recent math ideas that I now don't think lead to anything, surprise, surprise, not.

Intelligence is more than just a label. Solving problems is more than just a nifty idea.

This month a few things are going to happen, and maybe then some of you will get some perspective. But I'm not hoping as my take on it is that most of you lack even a modicum of the intelligence necessary to grasp world events on the level that are about to occur.

Oh well, it's not like you have to understand. They will happen anyway.

Just remember, you may have deluded yourself into thinking that most of the important stuff was figured out, but later, ask yourself, how could so many people have been so wrong?

History pivots on the next few decades. Everything that has come before for humanity is about what will happen in the next thirty or so years.

Ever hear about how a frog can sit in water that is slowly brought to a boil versus jumping out, as if you do it slowly enough, it can't sense what is happening?

It will be too logical if you live in a human-focused world centered around yourself and your community, where frogs don't just go extinct, with polar bears, quite a few bird species, horse species and probably most primate species as well along with so many others, don't forget plants...so much death.

Obviously part of the logic in a drawn out process is to remove hiding places for people who later will claim they didn't get a chance, as my position has been clear for some time—there are too many people

Re: JSH: My Factoring Paper Accepted

calling themselves mathematicians.

Personally I think there may be a hundred research level mathematicians possible with the current world population, if that many.

It is my duty to cull out the rest of you.

James Harris

In addition to your usual crankiness, it appears that your behavior under the pseudonym "Nomen Nescio" violates other netiquette. In particular, looking under this profile, Google responds with:

"This account has been banned because it violated the Google Groups Terms Of Use."

.