

## Re: Cantor For Dummies ...

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-03/msg05961.html>

---

- *From:* "Tonic" <[Tonicopm@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Tonicopm@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 30 Mar 2007 04:17:09 -0700
- 

On Mar 29, 9:37 pm, "georgie" <[geo\\_c...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:geo_c...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Mar 29, 1:31 pm, "Tonic" <[Tonic...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Tonic...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Hehe...sorry about that, Ride: it seems like you've met one of our resident anticantorian cranks.

So you start by personal attacks. Doing exactly what you accuse others of doing. I noticed that you have no real value to add.

\*\*\*\*\*

Anything that could be added, though you were utterly unable to grasp, was done in the good&old thread by Mueckheim et al. about "Cantor's Confussion", so I am NOT starting anything.

There, you and other cranks were explained once, twice, thrice and MORE times about your basic mistakes and your lack of mathematical education...no use: as good cranks, you wouldn't learn.

Regards

Tonio

.