

Re: The Collatz discrete primes!

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-04/msg00028.html>

- *From:* "mensanator@xxxxxxxxxxxx" <mensanator@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 31 Mar 2007 20:03:50 -0700
-

On Mar 31, 9:43am, "Danny" <fasttrac...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 30 Mar, 23:43, "mensana...@xxxxxxxxxxxx" <mensana...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 30, 7:15pm, "mensana...@xxxxxxxxxxxx" <mensana...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 30, 9:22 am, "Danny" <fasttrac...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 24 Mar, 18:21,
"mensana...@xxxxxxxxxxxx" <mensana...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

Pondering a counter example in Collatz $3x+1$ is all hypothetical of course and the implications if there is one is interesting but hard to comprehend because it not only involves the integers in the loop but larger integers in a reverse path doubling outside the loop.

Yes, they double outside the loop, but they can also get smaller due to arbitrarily long chains of $2 \pmod{3}$. Keep in mind that an actual counter example in $3n+1$ would be a

Re: The Collatz discrete primes!

HUGE

loop (at least 275000 numbers). I would think that the range of numbers inside the loop cycle would be quite large. It may be that the required length of $2 \pmod{3}$ chain means you won't see a smaller number outside the loop cycle on the counter example tree.

Drat, that was a stupid thing to say.

Purity is relative. The sequence vector [1,1,1,1,4] _always_ produces an impure number. $2 \pmod{3}$ chains needn't be any longer on the counter example tree than on the regular tree.

OTOH, there would be a LOT of branches on a counter example tree.

I have been pondering your explanation about the counter example being pure or impure but my thought is that the smallest odd integer in the counter example path would have to be pure.

I'm not saying it isn't pure, I just don't know enough to decide.

This brings up another question, is this true, where the smallest (pure) odd integer does not necessarily have to be included in the counter example loop but just a lead in to this counter example loop?

Re: The Collatz discrete primes!

The portion of the tree outside the loop would contain an infinite quantity of pure numbers. Every odd number in the counter example loop cycle must be $1 \pmod{3}$ or $2 \pmod{3}$ and every one throws a branch upwards to infinity. All such branches produce an infinite number of sub-branches, one third of which will be $0 \pmod{3}$. And every odd $0 \pmod{3}$ number is pure.

Of course, there ought to be pure numbers based on $1 \pmod{3}$ also. And who knows, there may be even "vacuously pure" numbers, i.e., numbers where the smaller candidates are on the other tree.

In other words a (pure) odd integer starting a side path leading into the counter example loop but not in the actual repeating loop

Definitely.

and also maintaining its smallest value against each of the values in the entire counter example!

Don't know, can't say.

Dan– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text — Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Re: The Collatz discrete primes!

It appears you do not want to commit to the idea that an odd pure (only) will trigger a counter example if indeed a counter example exists?

I could be wrong here in my assumption.

Explaining it better, I still maintain that if there is a counter example and you are doing a sequential seed search, which is the only way to find all the pures, then the first odd integer would have to be pure that leads into or is part of this loop.

With a further explanation, if it were not a pure odd then how would you explain that every integer connected with this counter example has to be pure to the entire Collatz tree.

So as a counter example it would have to be an infinite pure tree made infinite because of the reverse doubling effect where this pure tree falls outside of the infinite Collatz tree!

In the above sentence translation ----
(infinite = ---->oo) or approaching infinity.

Hard to imagine because now when this pure is found in the sequential seed search, IF EVER, it creates more pures in the Collatz tree but these pures will never be entered in the sequential seed search for pures in the Collatz tree. In other words skipped over because they are not part of the Collatz tree. Only the first key seed odd pure will be entered exposing the counter example.

Then who is to say that another or more counter examples exist creating 2 or more separate trees outside the Collatz tree?

Is this just a little food for thought or my logic gone awry in the pursuit of a proof of the $3x+1$ problem?

Dan

Google not accepting my reply. Will try again later.

.