

Re: JSH: Newsgroups mistakes, updated explanation and proof

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-04/msg02316.html>

- *From:* Red <red@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 17 Apr 2007 00:10:29 EDT
-

" William Hughes gave a cogent objection that was RIGHT ON TARGET.

And in doing so he showed at least some mathematical sophistication."

So when will you show any yourself?. Hint:
stating $1=7$ does not count as a demonstration
of sophistication.

"I gave a direct reply acknowledging the issue and giving what I thought was a resolution.

So what's your problem?"

You are repeatedly rude and obnoxious, abusive.
and you believe others have to accept that . That is my problem. I return abuse with abuse, do you have
a problem with that ?

"As an update I went ahead to try that approach and found it didn't
work!!!"

WOW: It must be that super-genius of yours!!!!

"To me hanger's on like you are just such a waste of the time of people who actually care about doing some
mathematics."

To me losers like you are just funny (unwitting)clowns.

"I may be loud and obnoxious often, and get things wrong a lot, but I am working, and it takes me years at
times to figure things out..."

But I thought you were a hyper-genius, Stevie.
Yet you describe yourself now, basically, as being slow
and dim-witted. A **real** genius would just look at
things and figure them out on the spot.

"keep at it until I do."

I am betting \$10 that you are wrong again. This is
the response you get from being loud and obnoxious.

Re: JSH: Newsgroups mistakes, updated explanation and proof

You seem to believe that we have some obligation to take your abuse. Some here seem to even like abuse, but not everyone does.

It's done people. The direct demonstration now allows one to use numerical methods to prove that with the defined congruences $g(x)$ is the SAME by absolute value for both the a's and b's though they are opposite in sign, which is why I talk about the absolute value.

That is, I figured out a route where you get $g(x)$ and $g'(x)$ where $g(x) = -g'(x)$, and one is given by the a's and the other by the b's and the sign doesn't matter, but I had to do that as the other way didn't work.

If you figure it out you will be floored. I mean freaking floored as it is just so beautiful.

"Worth all the crap. Definitely worth all of it."

So I guess you decided that abusing other people was worth the crap you dished out to others. You decided this for all others. The end and means thing, isn't it, Stevie?. Everyone should eat shit for 10 years so that Stevie can figure out that $1=7$ after working on the *same proof* for 10 years.

"One of the most beautiful proofs I've ever seen or imagined."

Which is not saying much, since you have been staring at the same equation for 10 years.

I am simply floored.

.