

## Re: directional derivative,--

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-04/msg03464.html>

---

- *From:* The World Wide Wade <[aderamey.addw@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:aderamey.addw@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 24 Apr 2007 10:12:51 -0700
- 

In article <462E0BC2.5080309@xxxxxxxxxx>, JEMebius <[jemebius@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jemebius@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

David C. Ullrich wrote:

On Tue, 24 Apr 2007 01:43:40 +0100, JEMebius <[jemebius@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jemebius@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

What about the well-known rectangular circular half-cone, the graph of  $(x, y) \rightarrow z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ ?

That has no directional derivative in *any* (non-zero) direction (at the origin, which is presumably the point you're talking about.)

At least not according to what I've always thought was the standard definition, as at

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Directional\\_derivative](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Directional_derivative)

That is absolutely correct, if it is indeed standard to consider only entire lines through the point in question. Is it standard in university and college math curricula?

I think it is, one reason being you want partial derivatives to be the same directional derivatives in the directions of the axes.

When defining mathematical concepts I want to stay as closely as possible to everyday physical reality. So I identified "direction" with "half-line" rather than with "line".

Re: directional derivative,--

I did the same thing.

.