

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

# Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-08/msg02361.html>

---

- *From:* neilist <[littoralee@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:littoralee@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 15 Aug 2007 07:34:56 -0700
- 

On Aug 14, 6:04 pm, tommy1729 <[tommy1...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:tommy1...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

denis wrote:

(and did no math whatsoever rather insulted as usual)

> tommy1729 a écrit :

In article

<33119411.1186861057132.JavaMail.jaka...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

forum.org>  
tommy1729  
<[tommy1...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:tommy1...@xxxxxxxxxx)>

writes:

>  
> considering  
> series  
> expansion ..

> a power  
> series is  
> taylor  
> an nth  
> derivate  
> series is

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

taylor

You are  
doing things  
the wrong  
way. A  
Taylor

series

exists for a  
function  
that is  
analytical in  
a circle  
around the

origin.

In

that case the  
Taylor  
series is  
convergent  
within that  
circle.  
When a  
Taylor  
series does  
exist  
we can get  
the  $n$ -th  
derivative  
of it by  
taking

the

$n$ -th  
derivative  
of the  
terms,  
constructing  
a new  
Taylor  
series. And  
we

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

can

integrate the  
function  
by  
integrating  
the terms  
(where we  
have to

insert

suitable  
constant  
terms).  
A function  
for which  
the  $n$ -th  
derivative  
does

not

exist at  $x =$   
 $0$  does not  
have a  
Taylor  
series  
expansion  
at all. (An

example

is  $x^3|x|$ ,  
which has  
first,  
second,  
third and  
fourth  
derivatives,  
but

for

which the  
fifth  
derivative at  
 $x = 0$  does  
not exist, so  
it is not  
analytical in

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

x = 0 and  
so does not  
have a  
Taylor  
series  
expansion.)

> what is  
the  
analogue  
for an  
integral ??

wich  
series  
can  
be  
expressed  
in  
the  
nth

integral

1 ??

See above.  
Although I  
do not  
understand  
the

second

question.  
--  
dik t.  
winter, cwi,  
kruislaan  
413, 1098 sj  
amsterdam,  
nederland,  
+31205924131  
home:  
bovenover  
215, 1025  
jn

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

amsterdam,

nederland;

<http://www.cwi.nl/~dik/>

once again i am  
misunderstood ...

once again, you obfuscated issues, then  
complained

i understand taylor series  
perfectly ...

Certainly not . You are a troll. Dont expect  
any  
further serious help  
for us now.

radius of convergence  
too...

thats NOT the issue here !!!

If you say so...

considering  $f(x+a) =$   
 $f(a)+f'(a)x +...$

(taylor ) it is clear that taylor  
is a power

series

( infinite polynomial of x )

and a series of nth derivatives  
(  $f^{(n)}(a)$  )

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

i am looking for the  
analogue of nth integrals

...

tommy1729

Keep looking. Remember Taylor series are  
unique.

DUH !!!

of course taylor is unique ...

but i wasnt looking for taylor

so duh !!!

Perhaps you are looking  
for something like Euler–MacLaurin  
smomation

formula?

no

But I strongly  
doubt it. You are just trolling as usual

no you are

no , just as usual you dont understand the math i  
am describing...

If you say so

i say so

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

and anybody who understands that your comment is irrelevant understands that too

i am better at math then you are

Wanna bet? You are usually ridiculous

no you are ridiculous

could not even compute an average , remember ?

want a second opinion ??

ask quasi about my factoring tricks for instance

Quasi is not so good either.

YOU SIMPLY DID NOT LOOK AT THE FACTORING TRICKS NOW DID YOU ?????

so , you really think you are better than me ??

and even better than quasi ???

quasi , are you letting him say that ??

well if your so good , you might wanna proof my factoring tricks ...

why dont you proof or disproof all conjectures and theorems made by me and quasi ???

you cant , can you ??

And you were badly lying

on that one

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)  
or look up sequences called after me

What is your real name? I dont hide mine...

look them up under tommy1729 perhaps ??

once again , you did not do that

doesnt fit you hmm ?

i won a math olympiad too

Liar

i have at least one operator and two constants

named after me

Liar (you dont even jknow what is an operator, by the way)

you havent looked that up hmm ???

here is one

(on the on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences)

id:A102575 (on tetration , number proven irrational)

( can you prove it's irrational ?? )

or more if you like:

A096436 (prime representation theory)

A111129 (continued fractions theory)

certain proof of the universality of certain classes of differential equations and cellular automata

helping to write math software

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

coaching students

...

you remind me of bit188

you have a lot of nerve for a newbie...

I was here since 1997 (where were yopu), not to  
mention my career in  
the real world

i was doing math ...

and i was here , under another name perhaps ??

did not think of that hmm ??

only looked at the number of posts hmm ??

well then since you only have 91 posts , so by your own logic , i have been here much longer,  
since i have more posts

HA!!

you dont even know about the math i have done in

the past

you were not even here ...

and i guess you were not present at the math

olympiad either ...

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

They were not created when I was a student.

yeah right

perfect excuse !!

But I won

a few distinctions  
in my time.

it is YOU WHO HAS TO CONVINC US THAT YOU  
ARE NOT A

TROLL

SINCE YOU ONLY INSULT PEOPLE HERE

Wrong, and very badly so. See for instance my  
contributions to 4D  
rotation threads with Pertti Lounesto, or my recent  
puzzle (I know, it  
contained a lot of misprints at first, but the final  
expression  
is incorrect, and I still bet you are not able to prove  
it is always real,  
not mentioning how I found it. Hint : it is not so  
elementary as it  
look, and I used a (reasonably) advanced tool to find  
it)

rather than to ask questions , let alone do math...

i have seen lots of critics towards me ...

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

the only reason you can react like that is because  
this forum is unmoderated !!

NOT because you are a genius...

you could not even compute an average remember ???

now what kind of mathematician cant compute an  
average

Poor idiot.

your the idiot

You never even try to understand quasi

answer,

lol , i understand quasi

and quasi understands my posts

whereas you dont

yet you claim to be smarter than the both of us

HA !!

or to realize

your conjecture was doomed beyond repair...

yet not as bad as not being able to compute an average hmm

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

;

yet claims to understand calculus ??

denis and denis only ...

there is a pattern in critics towards me

1) they insult me

2) they dont do math

3) they leave , realising they misjudged me ,

and/or they cant do math...

(usually both)

your faith will be the same as bit188...  
(or any other)

she considered me a crank too...

till some people here pointed out the level of my  
postings\*

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

\*Name one posting not low level.

( especially the ones she did not read )

she refused to read them...

finally she apologized

promised to do some math

and then left ...

and time will repeat itself ...

notice how most people on my cranklist have left

they were all critics of mine ...

and those are only the recent ones ...

so they all had to go ...

realizing they made a fool out of themselves

doubting me ...

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

You are a liar and a troll.

go ahead

look for my factoring tricks ...

ask quasi about it if you dont believe me ...

dont expect JSH type factoring tricks , mine are  
much more advanced !!!

go ahead , look it up

or ask quasi

or ask timothy golden

or ask neilist

or even robert israel will remember that

ill let you in on a little secret here

robert isreal is a good mathematician

and he worked on tetration

( a word you probably never heard of )

so plz dont make a fool of yourself claiming

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

your a better mathematician then him...

im way to friendly to warn you for this actually ...

You lie. Anyway, why do you never give any reference?

i just did hahaha

or do you consider those guys cranks too ??

time will repeat itself...

you probably think this does not apply to you ;

that your different ...

think again ...

As I told you, I have been here for more than 10  
years,so...

i was here before under other names

so what ?

i dare you to prove/disproof all of mine or quasi's conjectures and theorems

ha !

-----  
-----

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

"dimensionality is resolved !! "  
tommy1729 about perelmanns proof

btw 1729 is the taxicab number if you might wonder about that.

Riemann Matiyasevich and Wiles forever  
tommy1729– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text — Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text — Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Tommy, can you stay focussed on your math?

1. As to your mathematical background:

Saying you won an Olympiad may be true, but it's not verifiable in this anonymous forum, and it only serves to self-aggrandize.

If I said I won an Olympiad or a Putnam competition or had a Ph.D in Math, certain others here wouldn't believe it, so there is no value in saying such things. (None of these are true, but I could just say it, couldn't I?)

Even if I said true things about "my vast mathematical abilities" (in quotes so some can't get on my case for lying), others still wouldn't believe them.

2. As to your accomplishments:

That is, your mathematical "discoveries" and other things you've done such as "factoring tricks", you seem to be bragging and looking for praise.

Ahem, you are starting to sound like that crank James Harris.

And your dislike of non-commutative math such as matrix multiplication is kind of weird – like you have a choice. It doesn't (usually) commute, so accept it!

So please restrain yourself as to your background and accomplishments. Let the math (if it's good) speak for itself.

3. Spelling and grammar

Tommy, do you just type too fast? Are the ideas speeding out of your brain more rapid than your hands can type, and then you just HAVE TO

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

Tommy, don't be so cranky ;-)

press ENTER before proofreading?

Try being like Gauss, with his motto "few but ripe" (as quoted from E.T. Bell's Men of Mathematics).

Slow down a bit, huh?

4. Don't rank other mathematicians

Comparing Denis Feldman to Quasi, or whoever to whoever, or Ullrich to Madigan is a bit pointless.

Except for James Harris and Bassam King Whatever – they're just shit.

Outside of sci.math, you really should check Dirk Van de Moortel's Immortal Fumbles page, to see the insanity and stupidity of non-mathematicians and purported physicists Ken Seto, Androcles, and others. That website is da bomb! (as they say in the vernacular)

So do the math! Literally!

.