

## Re: A quiet query from a visitor

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-08/msg03660.html>

---

- *From:* [aatu.koskensilta@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:aatu.koskensilta@xxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Wed, 22 Aug 2007 09:21:42 -0700
- 

tommy1729 wrote:

sometimes you defend cantor sometimes you doubt it

What statement of mine do you construe as expressing doubts about "cantor"?

It is perfectly possible, of course, to conceive all sorts of interesting and coherent alternative conceptions of mathematics -- as illustrated by ultra-finitism, predicativism, intuitionism, various flavours of constructivism, ... -- if one does not find set theoretic reasoning and concepts congenial. Alas, your erratic rambling does not constitute such an alternative view.

There is also no reason why anyone should take any interest in your outpouring, let alone "counter" it in some way, though for some unfathomable reason many people in the news seem to find that an extremely important undertaking, perhaps in attempt to protect the innocent, lest they be led astray.

sometimes you attack me , sometimes you defend me ...

While your "arguments" against set theory are asinine, the responses they elicit from various persons are sometimes equally silly. My commenting on such silliness does not imply any sort of endorsement of your brand of inanity.

--

Aatu Koskensilta ([aatu.koskensilta@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:aatu.koskensilta@xxxxxxxxxx))

"Wovon man nicht sprechen kann, darüber muss man schweigen"  
– Ludwig Wittgenstein, Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus

.