

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-09/msg00164.html>

- *From:* JSH <jstevh@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 01 Sep 2007 11:32:12 -0700
-

On Sep 1, 11:09 am, jankri...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On 1 Sep, 19:44, JSH <jst...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sep 1, 10:37 am, José Carlos Santos <jcsan...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 01-09-2007 18:26, JSH wrote:

So I went to the factoring problem.

And never solved it.

Maybe not, but what is your proof?

I believe the burden of proof is on you.

Not necessarily if you believe that a concept can be of interest in and of itself to people who are supposedly experts in a field.

Surrogate factoring is at its heart just an idea, a what if?

MY position is that modern math people routinely lie and don't actually care about math except as a tool to use to get what they want—employment for acting like mathematicians.

If I prove overnight that they lie through factoring then most can claim ignorance.

Or do you want people to trust you?

And don't say if I had it I'd use it, as why?

Why would I bother if I think the math world is corrupt?

Good point, but then why do you bother to post here in the first place?

J K Haugland <http://home.no.net/zamunda>

Because by posting here I can present ideas to the math community worldwide.

If later those ideas are shown to be viable and there is no possible way a math community that cares about mathematical research for real and has natural human curiosity could have ignored them, then I make my point that most math people today are con artists.

So you see, I have to put the information in a place where math people can get to it.

And I have to talk enough around it about basic human curiosity and evidence of truly valuing a subject to take away what is often called plausible deniability.

That is, I have to remove all other possibilities EXCEPT math people being con artists.

And that takes time and some careful maneuvering as well as multiple actions to ensure that mathematicians had every chance to do the right thing.

Challenging Santos to commit every dime is part of that action, as to con artists, what really is more important than money?

I challenge you as well to pledge every penny you own to anyone worldwide who loses money if surrogate factoring turns out to be what your community is saying it is not.

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

By pledging what you own to them you can give them the knowledge that you have determined to the best of your ability that there is nothing to what I say, and are willing to accept consequences if you are wrong.

As being an expert gives responsibility and part of responsibility is accepting the consequence of your actions.

So it's gut check time.

Put up all your money—after all, what does it mean to you anyway if math is what you care about—as a gift to anyone who loses money if you are wrong, as your personal compensation for their loss.

James Harris

.