

# Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-09/msg00373.html>

---

- *From:* "Mas Plak" <[spamless@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:spamless@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 2 Sep 2007 21:37:15 -0500
- 

"JSH" <[jstevh@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jstevh@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
<news:1188753572.912971.64660@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

On Sep 1, 11:27 pm, junoexpress <[MTBrenne...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:MTBrenne...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

I believe the burden of proof is on you.

Not necessarily if you believe that a concept can be of interest in and of itself to people who are supposedly experts in a field.

Stupid.  
Nobody will be even the slightest bit interested in it until you give some indication (I'm not even saying proof, which I know you can't do) that it's performance is in *some way* optimal.  
Why should they waste their time on your half-baked ideas when they're trained in the field and know they have ideas that are at least worth working on.

But if the idea turns out to be a brilliant one which means factoring is not a hard problem after all, then how can mathematicians who not only couldn't figure it out, but who ignored it when presented with it be considered to be true experts in the field?

You need to accept the fact that the idea has failed. It is not brilliant, you cannot explain how it should work, and it is too slow.

What if THEY are the ones deluding themselves and doing research that is valueless because they actually lack real mathematical ability?

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

So they are incapable of seeing important research as well?

It is not important because you have not shown nor demonstrated that it is better than something else.

Challenging Santos to commit every dime is part of that action, as to con artists, what really is more important than money?

I don't know, you tell us. You've been perpetuating a con job on sci.math as long as I can remember, always promising something and never having delivered on one promise. If that's not a con-job, I don't know what is. What's even worse is that a lot of it you've done dishonestly, using others to work out things you can't do, all the while boasting how much smarter you are than the rest of humanity.

Except I HAVE delivered. Instead of just arguing with people over my proof of Fermat's Last Theorem I wrote a paper over a key results that followed from it and got it published.

Posters on sci.math then declared that the journal system was flawed and that math journals routinely publish false papers!!!

Your paper was never published. It was withdrawn and rejected by the editors.

Others mounted an email campaign against the paper and convinced the journal editors it was false, so they yanked it, and later the journal shut down.

If it was solid work they would have published it, it was not, it was shit-canned.

With my prime counting research I first found my prime counting function, and then proved how it was different from anything else previously known as to this day no one can give any other partial difference equation used to count prime numbers, and no other known

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

that finds primes on its own.

Posters on sci.math when challenged with those points shift the definition of "difference equation" to a non-standard one, and ignore the second point about finding primes or just lie about it.

You seem to have great difficulty discussing mathematics, and you admit you are no mathematician.

Obvious.

Repeatedly, by all normal standards, I achieve and posters deny in unreasonable ways all achievements while making dubious achievements of their own—like killing a math journal.

You are ranting, not achieving anything.

REASONABLE people who listen to me talk about the factoring problem can note that I'm making sense, while posters arguing with me, can't even be bothered to present a mathematical argument against my research or in support of their claims.

You do not make sense, you really do not make sense. Your idea is scattered.

Try to be more reader friendly. Teach us.

I challenge you as well to pledge every penny you own to anyone worldwide who loses money if surrogate factoring turns out to be what your community is saying it is not.

SURE, PUT ME DOWN FOR EVERY PENNY, YOU MORON.

M

You are not really anonymous and your statement is noted.

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

At least you have the courage of your convictions.

If the math community is wrong here, I say that its members should be held accountable as experts in the field, whether they demonstrate they actually are or not, as they've created an impossible situation, where at this point it's hard to see how there is a way to present this information without a potential shock.

You are wrong, you need to pay us, because of your incompetence.

A collapse of confidence in the modern math community seems ever more likely.

You do not fly in those circles.

It puzzles me but I have an explanation as old as humanity--greed.

That is a self excuse not to actually study math.

By faking math that is "pure" in that it is useless and hard to check, some people could get money for nothing, but lacking real math ability they could fight against actual mathematical proof and lead the world down a path to financial ruin.

World ? you mean Universe!

The balance is the future of human knowledge against the wealth of those who trusted people who don't know what they were doing.

Sure, because the aliens will come down.....

I vote for knowledge and the continued Progress of the human species.

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

Re: JSH: Contradictory behavior, issue of math fraud

Your vote has been cancelled.

A good learning lesson for you.

James Harris