

Re: Two results of set geometry

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-09/msg03438.html>

- *From:* Tony Orlow <tony@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 16 Sep 2007 12:02:50 -0400
-

William Hughes wrote:

On Sep 15, 10:38 pm, Tony Orlow <t...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

William Hughes wrote:

On Sep 15, 9:54 pm, Tony Orlow <t...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

William Hughes wrote:

On Sep 13, 2:01 pm, Tony Orlow <t...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

(what did I write?)

Consider the set of points
 $1/2, 2/3, 3/4, \dots$
Note that there is a point, 1,
by which all the numbers
in the set
have
been listed. However, there
is no point, *at* which all
the numbers
in the set
have been listed.
Some sequences do not have
a last point.
– William Hughes

And, so, what?

You claimed if there were some point *by* which all the numbers in the set were listed, there must be some point *at* which all the

Re: Two results of set geometry

numbers in
the set
were listed. It is nice to see
you have changed your mind.

What makes you think any such thing?

You made the claim in a previous thread that
you ran away from.