

Re: mirror question

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-09/msg06699.html>

- *From:* finite guy <adamlewis@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 29 Sep 2007 17:59:02 -0700
-

On Sep 28, 4:12 am, tommy1729 <tommy1...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

$\xi(z)$ is mirrored by $x = 1/2$

what function is mirrored by $y = \exp(x)$

regards
tommy1729

I'll try not to be as inflammatory as Eddie was (perhaps he has read more of your posts than I), I'll just point out that you did not say what " $\xi(z)$ " meant, you did not say what you meant by "mirrored by" and although I can guess that " $x = 1/2$ " refers to the line $x = 1/2$ in an xy -coordinate system, I can't be sure because you did not say so.

When you post a question in which pretty much every important term is undefined, yes, some people are going to get annoyed. When you do that, day after day, some people are going to respond with heat.

but $\xi(z)$ is a well known function

at least to REAL mathematicians.

so its basicly saying like ;
hey you did not define $\sin(x)$ yet

the z in $\xi(z)$ refers to a complex number , therefore we have indeed an xy coordinate system.

Re: mirror question

it is also common knowledge that $\xi(z) = \xi(1-z)$

thereby everything apart from the trivial is in fact defined.

but eddie is just a newbie who only posted 3 times, and clearly not a mathematician.

he does not know anything about $\zeta(z)$ or $\xi(z)$.

so as usual on this forum if you dont understand the OP , compensate your ignorance by insulting the OP.

instead of
admitting you dont have the intelligence to understand
or simply asking to explain with more details...

i am of course aware that someone who does not know about $\xi(z)$ might not understand the post.

but that should not be a reason to act like an ass towards an OP mentioning it.

also if you dont know about $\xi(z)$ i dont think it is likely you can give an answer...

i did not even have to mention $\xi(z)$!!!

i did it for clarity.

so that you would better understand what i meant with mirrored and $y = \exp(x)$.

it is clear that you got the idea
(despite perhaps not knowing about $\xi(z)$?)

so apart from talking about the indecent behaviour of newbies or the way i write ;

whats your answer to the question ?

regards
tommy1729– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

The finite set of REAL mathematicians includes 'rationals' and 'irrationals'.

So what is your exact point here? :-)

.