

Re: Implementable Set Theory and Consistency of ZFC

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-10/msg04138.html>

- *From:* Han de Bruijn <Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 23 Oct 2007 13:23:15 +0200
-

Jesse F. Hughes wrote:

Why not just tell me what you meant when you said that your claim applied to "any implementation" if not "any model of these eight axioms"?

Don't know what kind of silly word game you are playing with me this time, but what I mean is a "model" of the following except "X":

1. Extensionality
2. Empty set
3. Pairing
4. Union
5. Specification
6. Substitution
7. Power Set
8. Foundation
9. Choice

And, as I've said, in this "model", only (1-4) are necessary as axioms, because (5-9) appear as theorems. And (X) is not part of the "model".

Han de Bruijn

.