

# Re: Implementable Set Theory and Consistency of ZFC

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-10/msg04175.html>

---

- *From:* Han de Bruijn <[Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 23 Oct 2007 16:45:44 +0200
- 

Jesse F. Hughes wrote:

Han de Bruijn <[Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> writes:

It appears that the confusion stems from mainstream mathematics failure to distinguish a childish model from any serious implementation, once again. Look, my Implementable Set Theory e.g. is covering all Database Applications on Earth, which is .. a billion dollar business!

Wow!

I could ask what "covering all Database Applications" is supposed to mean, but that would be presumptuous. After all, you're the guy with a billion dollar implementation of set theory! I got doodlysquatch.

Of course, as a philosopher, you have no idea what Database Applications are all about. And what set theory has to do with them.

Billion dollars. Golly. Wonder when the residuals will start pouring in.

Han de Bruijn

.