

# Re: Implementable Set Theory and Consistency of ZFC

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-10/msg04344.html>

---

- *From:* "Jesse F. Hughes" <jesse@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 24 Oct 2007 06:57:05 -0400
- 

Han de Bruijn <Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

Jesse F. Hughes wrote:

Han de Bruijn <Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

Of course, as a philosopher, you have no idea what Database Applications are all about. And what set theory has to do with them.

Educate me. How does your toy set theory contribute to database applications?

My "toy set theory" includes all set theory that is needed to build any database applications. But it's nothing new in that respect.

In what sense can someone use set theory to build a database application? And if it's nothing new in this respect, why did you repeatedly claim, "Look, my Implementable Set Theory e.g. is covering all Database Applications on Earth, which is .. a billion dollar business!"

Hey, like you say, I'm just a lowly philosopher, so I don't understand important things like database applications. You'll have to help me out here. Suppose I have a database consisting of employee records (name, gender, income, let's say) and I have an application that sorts the database on name and prints a payroll check for each employee. How do I build that application using your set theory? And why is building that application in set theory something I might want to do?

—

Jesse F. Hughes

"When you try to kiss a girl, it's hard not to get spit on the girl."  
— Quincy P. Hughes, age 3 (almost 4)