

# Re: Implementable Set Theory and Consistency of ZFC

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-11/msg00966.html>

---

- *From:* Han de Bruijn <Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Mon, 05 Nov 2007 13:52:49 +0100
- 

Jesse F. Hughes wrote:

Han de Bruijn <Han.deBruijn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

Marshall wrote:

- 2) ZFC, without Infinity.
  - 3) ZFC, with the negation of Infinity.
- Is it clear why 2) and 3) are different?

Not to me. I don't know what Foo is and I don't know what ~Foo is.

Weird. You have repeatedly said that there are no infinite sets.  
Now, evidently, you don't know what that means.

You're mixing up my *\_intuitive\_* notion of the infinite and your *\_formal\_* "definition" of it. Yes. I know what I mean by "no infinite sets", but the whole problem is to communicate that meaning with you and your kind.

Han de Bruijn

.