

Re: How Do I Invert These Two Functions

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-11/msg05976.html>

- *From:* quasi <quasi@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 28 Nov 2007 19:08:33 -0500
-

On Wed, 28 Nov 2007 18:47:41 -0500, quasi <quasi@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Wed, 28 Nov 2007 18:35:14 -0500, quasi <quasi@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Wed, 28 Nov 2007 23:23:02 GMT, John Schutkeker
<jschutkeker@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

If I define $u_1(r_1, r_2) = (1/r_1) + (1/r_2)$ and $u_2(r_1, r_2) = 1/(r_2 - r_1)$.
How would I
go about solving these two equations for $r_1(u_1, u_2)$ and
 $r_2(u_1, u_2)$, which
would constitute "inverting the functions"? Thanks In
Advance!

Elementary algebra! Try it before giving up.

Write down 2 equations:

$$u_1 = (1/r_1) + (1/r_2)$$

$$u_2 = 1/(r_2 - r_1)$$

Viewing r_1, r_2 as the unknowns, you have 2 equations in 2 unknowns.

How hard can it be?

Of course, there are always tricks, but before looking for tricks, how
about trying the most basic method (from elementary algebra):

Choose one equation and solve that equation for one of the unknowns in
terms of the other. Then use the result as a replacement for that
unknown in the other equation, thus yielding one equation in one
unknown. You should be able to figure out the rest.

Re: How Do I Invert These Two Functions

You try it.

Also, for a function to be invertible, it has to be one-to-one. But as you'll see when you solve algebraically, your function is mostly two-to-one (on \mathbb{R}^2).

Looking more closely at your original question, I should point out that the title of your thread is not accurate.

You are not inverting two functions.

Instead you are (locally) inverting a single function with 2 component functions.

You can regard the map

$$(r_1, r_2) \mapsto (u_1, u_2)$$

defined by the equations

$$u_1 = (1/r_1) + (1/r_2)$$

$$u_2 = 1/(r_2 - r_1)$$

as a map from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^2 .

Call it u . Thus u has 2 component functions, $u = (u_1, u_2)$.

So you can talk about inverting u , but you are not inverting the functions u_1, u_2 . Of course, as mentioned, u is not one-to-one, so u doesn't really have an inverse (except locally). But you can still solve algebraically for (r_1, r_2) in terms of (u_1, u_2) , and as you'll see, for most (but not all) pairs (u_1, u_2) , there are 2 inverse images.

quasi

.