

Re: Finding the inverf

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-12/msg01716.html>

- *From:* David W. Cantrell <DWcantrell@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 09 Dec 2007 01:50:43 GMT
-

Ray Koopman <koopman@xxxxxx> wrote:

On Dec 2, 9:43 pm, morg...@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Could someone tell me how to calculate inverf(x) if I am given the value of erf(x).

Here's a relatively simple approximation for inverf[x]:

With[{t = -2*Log[1-|x|]}, Sign[x]*Sqrt[(t - Log[1 + t + (.01167845*t+.1066561)*t^2/((.02118035*t+.3710243)*t+1)])/2]]

The maximum absolute error is about $3.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for all x in (-1,1) in 64-bit IEEE format.

Thanks for that approximation. I have some comments and what some might consider to be an improvement.

First, I believe your statement about the error to be correct. (N.B. I've forgotten much about floating-point arithmetic, so anything I say related to that here should be "taken with a grain of salt".) The reason that your statement is correct is that numbers only very slightly less than 1 are not representable in 64-bit IEEE format. But forgetting floating-point and just considering the interval (-1, 1) of real numbers, the maximum error of your approximation is about $1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$, occurring when |x| is about $1 - 10^{-79}$.

Sometimes we prefer to consider relative, rather than absolute, error. That's what I would normally choose to do for erf. Your approximation has relative error exceeding $8 \cdot 10^{-4}$ at x = 0.

With the constraint that relative error = 0 at x = 0 and then minimizing |relative error| on the real interval (-1, 1), for an approximation of erf(x) in the form

With[{t = -2*Log[1-|x|]}, Sign[x]*Sqrt[(t - Log[1 + t + (a*t + b)*t^2/((c*t + d)*t+1)])/2]]

Re: Finding the inverf

we find that $b = (4 - \pi)/8$, implied by the constraint, and $a = 0.01857224$, $c = 0.03453839$ and $d = 0.44739441$.

This gives $|\text{relative error}| < 3.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$. (If we were to drop the constraint, then worst relative error could be reduced a bit further. However, if for nothing but aesthetics, I prefer to keep the constraint.)

BTW, with my coefficients above, if we were to approximate the inverse of the complementary error function, $\text{inverfc}(x)$, on $(0, 2)$, my bound on $|\text{relative error}|$ would remain unchanged, of course. But with your original coefficients, if we were to approximate $\text{inverfc}(x)$ on $(0, 2)$ in 64-bit IEEE format, your error bound would increase (since floating-point allows representation of numbers much closer to 0 than to 1).

Best regards,
David W. Cantrell

.