

Re: they mention various metaphors and ramble about various concerns (Re: Kuratowski Ordered Pair)

Re: they mention various metaphors and ramble about various concerns (Re: Kuratowski Ordered Pair)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2007-12/msg04594.html>

- *From:* Marshall <marshall.spight@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 22 Dec 2007 18:52:17 -0800 (PST)
-

On Dec 22, 5:52 pm, galathaea <galath...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Dec 22, 11:49 am, Marshall <marshall.spi...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

With this syntax, what does

$a+b=b+a$

evaluate to? True? False? The name of a Swedish music sensation ("abba") Is * associative? Does * distribute over +?

Or is it the case that the answers depend on the semantics, independent of the syntax?

the semantics must represent the syntax
it must provide an interpretation

Sure. Isn't that obvious? Isn't that pretty much what it means to call x the semantics for syntax y?

How come you didn't answer any of my questions?
How come you never answer any of my questions?
How c

Re: they mention various metaphors and ramble about various concerns (Re: Kuratowski Ordered Pair)