

Re: Is the term 'canceling each other's vote' a fallacy or fact?

## Re: Is the term 'canceling each other's vote' a fallacy or fact?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2008-02/msg01571.html>

---

- *From:* Major Quaternion Dirt Quantum <[OncyMI@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:OncyMI@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 8 Feb 2008 20:01:50 -0800 (PST)
- 

it's not a fallacy, if you're psychic; same goes for saying that one state decided the results, which was just a way for the media to ignore the irregularities that occurred in states, other than Florida, in 2000.

anyway -- and I'm not blaming \*this\* on Gore per se; his lawyers? -- the "consortium" of papers showed that he would have won, if the mandated state-wide recount had been allowed -- by him not asking for a partial one.

the basic effect, especially with the ATMs, is that the "New" Voting News Service, with the TV networks, call the election, before any possibility of bringing the slip of paper back from the ATM for a recount ... if the ATM actually printed one ... and if there were \*any\* procedure in the Help America Vote Act, to do so.

thus:  
it is somewhat more recondite,  
to use the diameter = one;  
thus, circumference & area are just pi. then,  
you still have to "explain,"  
why the volume is  $\pi/6$ .

anyway, the fact that  
the area of the great circle is a quarter  
of the sphere's, shows, it's (somehow) tetrahedral,  
a la Buckafka Fullofitarians.

thus:  
yeah, but how many Oscars did you get?

thus quoth:

Re: Is the term 'canceling each other's vote' a fallacy or fact?

Re: Is the term 'canceling each other's vote' a fallacy or fact?

These gravitational redshift objects  
are evenly distributed throughout the universe.  
Quasar's are compact objects  
about one light week in diameter. The close ones'  
redshifts are mostly from their gravitation.

thus:  
one could profitably dwell on the abstract,  
4D geometry of Coxeter et al, in that  
it's very special amongst the nD ones. however,  
the best approach is Bucky's:  
start with the tetrahedron,  
not the hexahedron.... even though  
it's impossible to find a Buckyoid statement  
that would really clarify this; so,  
just look at the pictures in \_S\_!...  
monsieur Nelson has some sort of results,  
actually n-dimensional, but  
I can't configure it without a computer, so....

How well do you know the 120-cell?

thus:  
don't know about doing it for conics,  
but you just construct two diameters  
for a circle. you must not have  
done any compass-constructions, if  
that's what you're referring to....  
should also be doable in origami,  
given three points of a circle or,  
more conducively to paper,  
three tangents.

what's the big deal?...  
the British physicist, Jeans,  
lead an expedition to observe an eclipse,  
to look at the position of Mercury,  
specifically to verify E's prediction;  
was it already known?...  
an aether theory may be better than GR, but  
I don't think you have it. as for SR,  
the only problem is spacetime, but  
was it really the fault of Lorentz et al,  
not Minkowski?

Re: Is the term 'canceling each other's vote' a fallacy or fact?

M&M's anomaly was a yearly one, but it was very,  
very small; of course, it was guaged  
with the interference of the two beams,  
split from the lasersource ... I mean,  
coherent/pinhole sunlight,  
a la Young, Fresnel et al.

--Dick Cheeny, National Treasure:  
Run, Trickier Dick -- Run for Indy superVeep!...  
Al Gore, Best Actor, Occidental Dino Awards!

.