

--Ping Dave, changed my mind – I am still confused

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2008-02/msg01687.html>

- *From:* "The poster formerly known as Colleyville Alan" <nospam@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 9 Feb 2008 19:19:06 -0600
-

I thought that I had figured this out, but as the info continues to roll across my skull, the situation gets murky.

You wrote : "you have used c for several things. This is okay, as long as you don't confuse what c is. In the first case, c is a real constant in the exponential: $e^{(-t+c)}$. In the second place, you have written $e^{(-t+c)}$ as $e^c * e^{-t}$ and then substituted c for e^c ."

True, but although I was able to see why the constant c is $= -1$, but I now am getting confused again.

Let me use subscripts of c_1 for the initial constant and c_2 to represent e^c

Since c_2 is a replacement for e^{c_1} , then e^{c_1} must be $= -1$ But e^{c_1} is >0 for all c_1 ; even e^{-c_1} is >0 for all c_1 . Only using a negative e will work since $-e^{+c_1}$ will give me a value of -1 when $c_1=0$

But, during the process of integration, I get $\ln|y| = -t + c$. When I exponentiate both sides, I get $|y| = e^{(-t+c)}$. Now, according to the definition of abs value, if the contents of y are positive, then $|y| = y$ and if the contents of y are negative, then $|y| = -y$. Since the RHS has a positive e raised to some exponent, this value is positive and therefore y would be positive. If I had raised a *negative* e to some power, then I would say $|y| = -y$, but since this is a positive e on the RHS, I do not see how that is possible in this case.

I realize that the initial conditions require that $c = -1$ but if $c_2 = e^{c_1}$, then I do not see how c_2 can be $= 0$ in this case. So, even though I replaced e^{c_1} with c_2 , since c_2 represents e^{c_1} , it does not seem to me that I can simply treat it like an arbitrary constant; it is a constant, but one that is $+e$ raised to some power of an arbitrary c_1 .

Now if I have totally misunderstood this, I am very happy to be corrected :) I suspect that I am missing something obvious, but it is not jumping out at me.

Thanks for any and all help
Alan

.