

Re: math : inaccessible cardinal

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2008-03/msg04278.html>

- *From:* Denis Feldmann <feldmann.denis.asupprimer@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 31 Mar 2008 06:57:55 +0200
-

amy666 a écrit :

to go from \aleph_x to $\aleph_{(x+1)}$ we do the operation " 2^\wedge ".

You always say that, people always point to you it is not true, you always ignore it and keep asking the same questions, hopelessly muddled then by this misunderstanding.

what do we need to do to get from \aleph_{\aleph_0} to \aleph_{\aleph_1} . ???

amy

well ?

Well what ? Look at the definition

a tetration $2^{2^\wedge \dots}$ performed \aleph_1 times on \aleph_0 ?

Not so absurd, but still no real meaning (even with GCH admitted, of course)

does that make sence ?

do you believe that is the answer ?

No, we dont believe, in math, we look at definitions and theorem, and try to make sense...

do you have a better answer ?

Re: math : inaccessible cardinal

Yes (and it is in any good book too) Just slightly difficult to give in a few words, but not so hard in a few paragraphs

or will you admit there is no answer ?

Never

any

.