

Re: (Discrete Math – Induction) 'Formula Differentiation'

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2008-03/msg04303.html>

- *From:* Ray Vickson <RGVickson@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 31 Mar 2008 00:24:40 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 30, 10:03 pm, "almeidabati...@xxxxxxx" <almeidabati...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 31 mar, 02:05, quasi <qu...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 30 Mar 2008 20:48:11 -0700 (PDT), "almeidabati...@xxxxxxx"

<almeidabati...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 30 mar, 23:45, quasi <qu...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 30 Mar 2008 18:30:51 -0700 (PDT), "almeidabati...@xxxxxxx"

<almeidabati...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Hi all! I've got this problem in my set:

$$1 + 2q + 3q^2 + \dots + nq^{n-1} = [1 - (n+1)q^n + nq^{n+1}] / [(1 - q)^2], \quad q < 1.$$

Establish [the formula above] by differentiating the expansion of the

Re: (Discrete Math – Induction) 'Formula Differentiation'

formula for the sum of a
geometric progression.'

I've been thinking with
this one all day, no clue on
how to start.

ANY hints on how the
derivative of the sum of
terms of a G.P will get
into this are welcome.

(1) Find an antiderivative of the LHS.

(2) Look at the result --- do you recognize
it?

(3) Based on the answer to (2), use a known
formula to simplify the
result.

(4) Now differentiate the simplified result,

quasi

Hmmmmmm! This algebraic trick would never happen to me
wouldn't it be
your answer! Thanks a lot!

Just to be sure, the only 'induction' involved in the solution is
finding the derivative of the summation?

Unless you're required to be ultra-formal, there's no need for
induction. Just show the pattern, making clear that it works, term by

Re: (Discrete Math – Induction) 'Formula Differentiation'

term. If you want, you can also show explicitly what happens to the k 'th term, where k is an arbitrary index variable, left undetermined.

quasi

I guess there's no such need, the doubt just arised on me because this problem is from the Mathematical Induction chapter of my Discrete Mathematics book. Thanks for the answer.

I suppose whether or not you need induction depends on what you are assumed to know already and are allowed to use. For instance, the derivative of a sum is the sum of the derivatives. One way to show this is by induction, showing it first for two summands. Also, you need the formula for the sum of a geometric series. One way to obtain it is through induction, although there are much better ways of ding it. You need to know that the derivative of x^n is $n \cdot x^{n-1}$. One say to obtain this is through induction and the rule about the derivative of a product of two functions. Are all these things known? Then you don't need induction.

R.G. Vickson

.