Re: Questioning the defintions of set and element.

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2008−05/msg00179.html

- *From*: MoeBlee <jazzmobe@xxxxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: Thu, 1 May 2008 14:40:12 −0700 (PDT)

On May 1, 2:26 pm, "Mark" <u...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

> Then why would they be in a set in the first place?
> Can you provide me with an example of a set whose elements have nothing in
> common with each other, other than the fact that they belong to the set?

You see, this becomes mindless due to a lack of basic understanding of
the subject matter. I mean, EVERYTHING has SOMETHING in common with
any other thing even if it that commonality is as basic as that both
are things. They have in common that they are things, in some sense,
even if abstract, objects.

But that is so basic as to be pretty much worthless, isn't it?

So, the kinds of questions you're asking take on merit worth even
answering only when put in some context of basic understanding of the
subject matter of set theory.

MoeBlee

.