

# Re: Algebra with quotient ring.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2008-07/msg00926.html>

---

- *From:* Tonic <[Tonicopm@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Tonicopm@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 10 Jul 2008 01:28:52 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Jul 10, 10:33 am, Bill Dubuque <[w...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:w...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Tonic <[Tonic...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Tonic...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

He in fact wrote that in another forum when the same situation came up: he answered a question in a way more complex and misleading way than, apparently, the OP meant...and why "apparently"? Because the OP's question was such that it was plain clear she/he had a rather elementary maths level.

That time though (just 5-7 days ago) he also felt like offending some other poster who answered that question...

In what imaginary world did that happen? How about a reference to support that ridiculous unfounded claim. As usual, I think you've made yet another error and confused me with someone else.

\*\*\*\*\*

(1) Link: <http://tinyurl.com/6e4c3r> . The site is "Topology Q+A Board: Ask an Algebraist", of York Univ.

This quote's date is July 7, 2008. The "lazy, obvious, sloppy" may refer to me (Tonio), or (some of it, perhaps) may refer the poster you were answering to. Perhaps this doesn't look offensive to you, whoever you were referring to...?

Of course, you could say you were talking about me and not about anyone else, and thus it is not "some other poster"...

\*\*\*\*\*

I DID write to him asking him for something, and I did NOT find it in the galore of links I had to click on. Later, someone else said he couldn't find that, or some other, thing, and Bill said then that he hasn't yet worked out that thing

Re: Algebra with quotient ring.

More lies and/or twisted self-serving characterizations of events.  
Again, references?

\*\*\*\*\*

(2) Quote :

[Tonio] " > Thanx for the links. it's a little messy to follow those  
(I already reached once 1999 and other time 2000...), so: do you have  
some site where these techniques are written? For example, I tried to  
read about the  $|\text{Adj } A| = |A|^{(n-1)}$  but I only found links to other  
posts with links to other post with links to... \*pant\*. If all this is  
more or less concentrated in some place, book or articles, it'd be  
great. "

[Bill] That example I never elaborated on..."

It is from Jun 10, 1:35 AM, in the "Linear Algebra with eigenvalues  
AB" thread in this very sci.math forum, post No. 18 (sorted by reply)

This thread is very interesting and, imo, enlightening about what  
we've been talking about here, because of the participations of Bill,  
Tonio and Arturo, and the opinions expressed there.

Again, you didn't right precisely "I haven't worked out that", but  
rather "I never elaborated on"...my bad.

Pleaso do notice it was EXACTLY  $\text{Adj } A$  and related stuff that we were  
talking about, and for what you send people to "prior posts". In post  
No. 16 in the same thread, you wrote:

"Below is the link again. FOLLOW THE LINKS, in my prior posts below,  
then follow links in these posts, etc, etc, to traverse the entire  
tree of said prior posts.

There you'll find many similar examples, e.g. the Cayley-Hamilton  
theorem;  $\text{adj}(A) = \det(A)^{(n-1)}$ ; Leibniz's product rule for the  
derivative of polynomials;..."

Wanna check again, Bill, about who you're accusing of lying?

\*\*\*\*\*

That's all I've to say...but Bill doesn't like this,  
and then he gets mad and mean, and then...etc.

Eh, have you ever seen me get mad? In fact I find you hilarious.  
You are the most preposterous lunatic I've ever encountered in

Re: Algebra with quotient ring.

Re: Algebra with quotient ring.

almost three decades online. You may just yet earn the distinction of being the first person ever to earn a coveted spot in my forever empty killfile. If you keep up this lunacy there is no doubt that the name "Jose Antonio Perez" will earn a place in history right next to all the other infamous sci.math crackpots.

\*\*\*\*\*

Are you sure you still want to talk about crackpots, Bill?? I suppose that remark wasn't also intended to offend, uh? Oooo-kaaaay.

Regards  
Tonio

.