

Re: Standard wreath product & representations

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2008-10/msg02750.html>

- *From:* Derek Holt <mareg@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 20 Oct 2008 12:35:20 -0700 (PDT)
-

On 20 Oct, 16:55, newsgr.m...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Hello,
I have to put the `_standard_` wreath product of `C_3` by `C_2` into a `Z_3C_2`-module.

I do not really know what you mean. What exactly do you mean by putting a group into a module?

Assuming you are thinking of `Z_3` as a finite field and `C_2` as a cyclic group of order 2, you can define a `Z_3C_2`-module of dimension 2, by defining the action of the generator of `C_2` on the vector space to be the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

If you do that, then the semidirect product of the module by the group will be isomorphic (as a group) to the standard wreath product of `C_3` by `C_2`.

Derek Holt.

I know that this can be done via conjugation by the elements of `C_2`, but exactly how? (Which is the representation needed?)
[please answer here if the question is clear, continue below if not :D]

Let $\{1, a, a^2\}$ and $\{1, b\}$ the underlying sets of (respectively) `C_3` and `C_2`. The basis group of $W = C_3 \text{ wr } C_2$ is $C_3(1) \times C_3(b)$, so W is

$$(C_3(1) \times C_3(b)) \rtimes C_2^*.$$

For example, $a(1)$ is the permutation of $\text{Sym}(C_3 \times C_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} (x, 1) &\mapsto (xa, 1) \\ (x, b) &\mapsto (x, b); \end{aligned}$$

Re: Standard wreath product & representations

instead a permutation of C_2^* appears like

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x,yp)$$

where $p=1^*$ or b^* , x in C_3 .

The underlying set of B is

$$\{(1(1),1(b)), (1(1),a(b)), (1(1),a^2(b)), \dots, (a^2(1),a(b)), (a^2(1),a^2(b))\}.$$

Obviously, by the definition of wreath product, there is an automorphism of C_2^* in $\text{Aut}B$ given by

$$p^* \mapsto ((c,d) \mapsto (c,d)^{p^*}). (*)$$

In view of a possible Z_3C_2 -module representation, we have to look for a representation $r: C_2 \rightarrow \text{GL}(B)$. B is a vector space over Z_3 , so that has some sense. Can we assume that r is just a little modification about $(*)$, taking the domain as C_2 and not C_2^* ?

Thanks in advance.