

Re: The modern mathematical concept of infinity is indefensible

Re: The modern mathematical concept of infinity is indefensible

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2009-01/msg02473.html>

- *From:* Michael Press <rubrum@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 21 Jan 2009 18:04:54 -0800
-

In article

<16457079.1232557274552.JavaMail.jakarta@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, "G. Rodrigues" <sorlakind@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

In article

<19312788.1232478555757.JavaMail.jakarta@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
forum.org>, "G. Rodrigues" <sorlakind@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Do you know the recursion theorem? In rough terms, it states that if you have a rule f such that you know $f(0)$ and given $f(n)$ you can compute $f(n + 1)$ then you can extend f to a function $N \rightarrow N$, N the set of natural numbers. In order to avoid infinite sets, view functions as computer scientists do, as black boxes that given an input spit out an output, so that the recursion theorem just says that given the hypothesis we can compute $f(n)$ for every natural number. "We can compute" means, or can be made to mean, computing in finite time, although in practice finite can be impractically large. This is an idealized situation, of course, but as a self-proclaimed physicist you surely do not object to it since, after all, **all** models of physics and engineering are idealized situations.

Not what I think of as the recursion theorem, even very roughly.

Right, there is a missing sentence there. Let me rewrite the beginning as:

The recursion theorem is a fundamental pillar of computer science since it provides the formal justification for **recursion definitions**. In rough terms, if you have a rule f

Re: The modern mathematical concept of infinity is indefensible

And continue as above. Is this more palatable?

The correction is moot though, since mr. de Bruijn seems to have chosen not to answer this or any other of my objections.

Primitive recursive functions are defined. The primitive recursive functions is the smallest class, C , that contains

- 1) constant functions: $\lambda xy\dots z[m]$
- 2) successor functions: $\lambda x[x+1]$
- 3) the identity functions: $\lambda xy\dots z[w]$, $w = x, y, \dots$
- 4) function composition of prf's.

5) If $g(x)$ and $h(x,y,z)$ are prf's then f satisfying

$$f(0,x) = g(x)$$
$$f(y+1,x) = h(y, f(y,x),z)$$

is in C .

Here is what I think of when I hear "the recursion theorem."

Let T_1, T_2, \dots be an enumeration of Turing machine.

The recursion theorem: If f is a primitive recursive function then there is an n such that

$$T_{\{f(n)\}} = T_n.$$

—

Michael Press

.