

## Re: 2 backview questions

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2009-02/msg01661.html>

---

- *From:* Ken Quirici <kquirici@xxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Fri, 13 Feb 2009 09:05:01 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Feb 13, 9:49 am, Arturo Magidin <magi...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 12, 1:25 pm, Ken Quirici <kquir...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

To put it another way, I asked these questions of the group at large in the hopes that someone who wasn't 'trapped' in the thread in question would bring some fresh light to bear.

My apologies if I misjudged where you were coming from, but you made all pretense of coming from there in your post. As such, I do find myself feeling somewhat ill-used: in essence you misrepresented yourself in order to elicit responses.

In the future, you could identify similar queries as questions raised on another thread that you wish addressed by someone who is not participating in that thread, without having to "tip your hand" if you don't want to, but making it clear to potential repliers what is going on, to decide whether they want to wade in or not.

--

Arturo Magidin

OK. I apologize if you feel ill-used. Amazingly enuf, I actually assumed everyone reading those first two statements would know immediately where I was coming from. I think I was still in the mind-set of the other thread. But looking over that first post I can see how I could be misinterpreted.

Your suggestion was good. I should have been much clearer.

.