

Re: "Musatov"...

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2009-07/msg00096.html>

- *From:* Bacle <bacle@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 01 Jul 2009 10:48:27 EDT
-

Musatov wrote:
Bacle wrote:

On Jun 30, 12:40 pm, Bacle <ba...@xxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

On Jun 29, 3:58 pm, Bacle
<ba...@xxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

On
Jun
29,
12:00 pm,
Bacle

<ba...@xxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

<snip>

Could
you
tell
me
though
what
is

wrong

with

it

(or

Re: "Musatov"...

On Jun 30, 12:35 pm, Bacle <ba...@xxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

On Jun 30, 8:12 am, Bacle
<ba...@xxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

Bacle
<ba...@xxxxxxxxxx>
writes:

Do
you
even
know
what
a
prime
is,

imbecil?

Yes, a number divisible only by 1 and itself.
Sometimes 1 is not
included in the set.

But you did not know that a prime $p > 2$ must be an
odd number?. And you made a conjecture that it must
be so?. This tells me , and I would say to most
people, that you do not, as you do not know the
very basic properties of a prime number.

In addition, even after you had it explained to you
many times, you still did not get it, and kept
up with your conjecture. Hardly a sign of your
knowing what you are talking about.

I
don't
know

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

what
an
imbecil
is.

I understand what an imbecile is.

Apparently
you don't
know what
a clever

joke/

comentary
is either.

I do but there is not a clear cut mathematical
formula to comedy.

This comment of mine was not addressed to you.

I am however clever enough to cite this fact clowning
on you.

--
<snip>
part
by
Aatu
Koskensilta

, >>>> Apparently you did not spell

"commentary"/"comentary"

correctly. I
believe I have shown it is
the case.

Wow, you really got me on that one. Great

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

point you make, specially considering this is a
math website, and I can use Spell Check any time.

My issue with you is that you deface this
site, constantly show disrespect for it, post
non-mathematics-related material regularly,
posting irrelevant material in others' threads, and you
show no interest in learning: you repeatedly ignore
corrections made to you and counterexamples to
your conjectures.

Have you considered I post not for the reactions of
others or the
appearance of my learning or display of my knowledge?

This is nonresponsive: if you are given an explanation, by people who _do_ know that a prime

2 cannot be odd, you should at least read it and
try to understand it. I doubt that you did, as
you kept making the same false assertion many times
over.

You want to make this site into your personal play
pen, experimenting and playing around. Guess what:
this is a communal website and not your personal
playpen. If everyone goes around repeating things
they don't understand 10 times like you did, the site
will become useless.

Do whatever you need to do to understand, just don't
deface this site while you are doing it. You dilute
the quality of this site by doing that.

You seem to be believe that just because you
(claim) your motives are pure, that this entitles
you to come to a site which has a certain way of
doing things, and do here whatever you feel like
doing, without considering the impact of what you
do on others, nor on the quality of the site.

Again: do what you need in order to learn, just

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

don't use this site as your playpen in order to do it, as you damage the quality of the site; you are _expected_ by most people here to be reasonably well-informed, and to do your "scrap-paper" thinking and experimenting _ on your own scrap paper_ , and not use this site for your personal doodling and experimentation. You are expected to listen to the explanations and ask well-informed questions. People take time and energy to give you careful answers which you ignore. You want the benefits of this site without contributing to it.

Ultimately, if you do not like this rule, create your own website and invite everyone to throw in whatever helps them learn and ignore each other's comments. But your actions are offensive to many here, and, guess what: we —tons of people— do not have to adapt to you and your needs, as we do not work for you; if you join a community, as in sci.math, you are expected to act in certain ways; specifically, not post irrelevant posts in others' threads. I don't care if this works for you; it does not work for most people, it dilutes the quality of the site. If you don't want to be bound by this, create your own site. But we will not all change what we are doing just to please you, which is what you seem to want here. I don't care what your motives may be: don't trash this site.

You have posted either conjectures that can
be
shown to be false for even small values of n
—which ,
out of courtesy, you should check yourself. Once,
twice, can happen, but when you do it so often, it is
insulting.

When there is truth withstanding I will sometimes
take a means justify the end approach within reason. The truth I see
potentially obscured
here I'm sure far outweighs the value of a few posts

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

to bother people
so much you would think there was more at stake than
just a few
seconds glancing over text you do not approve of.

This is not your personal playpen. I don't care
what your goals or motives are: we are not here to
adapt to your tastes and your M.O. at the expense
of the quality of this site.

Garbage: if everyone did what you do, this place
would be chaos. Do your experimentation in your own
time, and do not deface this site while at it. If
everyone reposted 7–10 times after having something
explain something to you, this site would be completely useless. Guess what: your method may work for
you—
at this site and at others' expense. And we do not
have to drop our way of doing things to fit you.

Out of courtesy, since someone
devoted their time and energy —for free — to
explain something to you, read carefully what they
said, and do your best effort to understand, and
then ask a well-informed followup questions.
Or, out of basic fairness, tell people in advance:
"I will not carefully read what you tell me, and
I will go on to splatter electronic graffiti in
this site until I get the material. I will keep
posting and ignoring your corrections until I
get the material ". See what reaction you get.
There are other people out here, and this is not
just about what works for you. Your benefit is
done at the expense of others, including myself.

In addition, even after being repeatedly
shown

counter-examples to your conjectures, you make

these

conjectures again. Many times.

Have you heard of the concept of Quantum

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

Entanglement? Is it possible
this may be a result?

No. This is a result of you refusing to sit down quietly and read carefully what others took pain to explain to you— for free . It is disrespectful on your part. Others devote time and energy to explain things to you, and you ignore what they post. It is just a sign of your disrespect for people here.

There is nothing wrong in not knowing, but it is disrespectful to act as if you do and repeatedly spout nonsense like you have been

doing.

Again all I can say is I do not post for your approval.

Missing the point again. You dilute the quality of the site by repeating nonsense. You are pursuing your benefit _ at our expense_ , and this is what bothers me. Say: if my plastering graffiti all over the walls of your house allows me to improve my creativity: does that justify my doing it?. My motives are pure, so I should get to trash your place, right?. What if I am walking by your house and I need to go to the bathroom: should I take a shit in your garden?. It would save me time from going back home, and it would allow me to feel more creative after releasing my intestines. I guess I will do it, since I am not seeking your approval.

You sincerely come off as either completely naive and unaware, or as completely selfish, wanting to use this site for your needs at others' expense, and ignoring the impact on others of what you do _this_ is my point and _not_ that I disapprove of what you do; I frankly don't give a shit about what you do as long as you don't do it _ at my expense_.

I don't give a shit what you do, as long as you do not deface the site, which is what you are doing. If everyone

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

did what you do, this site would be a wasteland of undigested garbage. This is not yours nor anyone else's personal playpen for experimentation.

Experiment on your own time with your own resources, and not at everybody else's expense. Then do whatever the hell works for you and pleases you, I really don't care what it is.

Just stop depicting yourself as the rebel being persecuted for being different. The point is that you are acting like a parasite.

I will not apologize until the knowledge I see
potentiated in
obscurity is revealed.

Why not do that in your own time, with your own resources. No need to inflict and plaster your search for enlightenment on the general public. Do it --and whatever the hell else you feel like doing -- in your own time and with your own resources.

I also have my needs, but I understand that the world must not come to a complete halt in order for me to satisfy them. Do you get that?. Or should we be subject to and cater to all your whims in order for you to pursue your search, damn whatever we need?

(given the fact that many have posted complaints similar to mine, I do believe I am talking for more than just myself.)

Do whatever the hell you want to do , as long as you don't do it at someone else's expense.

This is a site for people who want to have
serious discussions of math (of course, an
occasional
fun post is perfectly fine), and you are making a
mockery of it, turning it into a personal
playground for your bizarreness.

This from a person who constantly among many cusses
and acts how they never would in person in a serious mathematical discussion. You have
lost your claim.

You may notice that I do not

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

gratuitously attack posters; and I do not do it "constantly" . I have done it with people whom I think are acting like assholes, specially after, like you, they don't listen. Find a post of mine that is aggressive towards someone other than you or inverse 19. Can you? It is not a "constant thing".

I do it because I view your and inverse 19's actions as insulting. There is such a thing as insulting with actions and not with words, which is what I perceive people like you and inverse 19 are doing. Still, I grant you that I am too agresive at times and I need to address this, and find better ways to deal with my own frustration.

But that does not make it right for you to use this as your playpen; to use the cliché, two wrongs don't make a right.

I don't have many sites to learn and practice

my

math; sci.math is one of the few I have.

This we agree.

You have plenty of sites where you can do whatever r it is your

doing, and leave this site for people who are

into

math.

I am into mathematics but just not the way you see it.

Do whatever you want to do as long as you do not deface this site and turn it into your own playpen, otherwise I don't care what you do in any way whatsoever. Just don't do it at my expense.

Have you ever stopped for a second to wonder why others have been upset at you?. Do you think that the end (your goal of learning) justifies the means?. Do you think we should be exposed to whatever you feel like doing just because it works for you, even if it does so at others' expense ?. Should it all

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

be about what you want?

So don't give me that story about how you are
a misunderstood creative genius and others disapprove
of you, as that is not what I (and many others)am(are) upset about.

But you chose to make this into your math
playground. And I find that offensive.

I experiment but I keep track and consider at each
step within reason.

I find it offensive and cruel the masses condone the
abusive behavior
en masse of theory they do not agree with.

You are missing the point of why people treat you
the way they do (not that this is necessarily justified)
While some may be gratuitously cruel at times, many find your actions insulting too. Insults are
not just done explicitly in words, but also in actions
like your random splattering of nonsense in others'
threads.

Your actions are considered abusive by others.
It is not what you do, but how you do it. Try
to at least listen to my advice and get your view
that you are the misunderstood genius out of your
head for a second , being chided for being different
(superior, in your view). Again, do whatever the
hell works for you, just not at others' expense.
Believe it or not, others have feelings that you
should consider, and it is not just about having
your needs met.

Each one

comes from a
person (I think) who is necessarily abused and pushed
out for their
effort by the type of bullying I have not seen since
before 6th grade.
It is unacceptable.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

So is your splattering of garbage in others' posts and your repeated posts of the same nonsense. Guess what, others consider your actions unacceptable. Try putting yourself in _others'_ position. Your actions are disrespectful in that you only care about what works for you and not on whether this hurts others. Think about this and spare me the self-righteousness of how you want to heal the world.

The keepers of great truths do not behave this way.

Nor do they trash others' places and disrespect them in order to find a truth, like you do, doing what works for you at others' expense.

You do sound like you are playing a Hollywood character and are disconnected from the way people really are.

This means there is greater truth than what those who abuse hold and protect by their cruelty.

It is a (arguably overblown) reaction to your actions together with some bad-faith cruelty.

Now convince me that my misspelling a word

is

as bad as what you are doing.

What I am doing is not bad in my eyes. It is in fact good.

Yes, good for you, not so for others.

I do not engage in activities I see as unprofitable or unproductive when it comes the free exchange of ideas or the producing of a project.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

Yes, your actions are productive. At other people's expense. How rich.

At 20 years old I was an intern for Jerry Bruckheimer, MTV Films, Village Roadshow Pictures and International Creative Management.

At 22 I had my Bachelor's degree in Screenwriting and moved to Los Angeles.

By the time I was 24 I had been through a Fellowship for Television Writes at Twentieth Century Fox, had a feature film in development with a large Hollywood Studio, and was represented by The Endeavor Agency.

And ??

I have been a paid writer since I was 24. I am now 30.

I continue to work as a writer though oddly enough through exposure in Digital Media positions (having been a part of the team which began the Digital Media Business at Creative Artists Agency—(Ray Kurzweil?) and working for eBay former CEO and President Jeff Skoll, I became interested in digital media as an entity, particularly its potential to impact the world through a mixture of social media marketing and storytelling. I researched algorithms and code in my spare time as I wrote. In 2008 I had a feature film released at 10,000 Blockbuster stores worldwide and theatrical Global release.

And ??

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

In mathematics if I choose a persona it is my choice.
But do not judge
me. You do not know me. I judge behaviors as right as
wrong only when patently so. I do not judge people.

So do we. And we consider your actions to be wrong
and hurtful to our goals.

I see subterfuge. I see dishonesty. I see more
immorality than I do honest academic exchange. I

see cruelty without apparent cause and

this is most disturbing.

I gave you an explanation.

Yes, of course. You are above all and you are

with

no fault and no responsibility whatsoever for how
you are treated.

Abusive language is in an academic setting the
analogue of domestic violence.

But your abusive actions are any better?

There is never a good excuse. If I allow myself to be
the whooping boy
trust it is not my feelings at stake or my conviction
but rather your
position as abuser.

I am responding to what I consider to be abuse on
your part.

There is simply more than what is obviously

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

apparent

to some of the
exchanges I see on Sci.Math and the fact remains
there exists a large
portion of the community who seem to guard or

protect intellectual

property or claims by their absolute insistence

of a possibility

something is impossible. It is like they are

trying to limit

themselves by insisting certain things can never

be

understood and
this frightens me.

Don't judge them. You don't know them.

It seems to me an awful like

the

suppression of
free speech and open exchange of ideas has fallen
second so far it is
hardly seen.

I personally don't care, as long as you don't
do it at my expense and do not deface this site
and make it much more difficult for me to benefit
from it. If everyone did what you do, this site
would turn to garbage.

I am sure that as usual, you will not listen.

Be positive. You may meet an improvement.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

I tried to spell out as clearly and as
explicitly as possible what it is that you do that
many find to be

irritating. But you just do not listen and you make
yourself out to be the paragon of justice and all

that

is good. So you repeatedly ignore what others tell
you that you do and that they find irritating. You
are just endlessly self-righteous.

If I am self-righteousness to you then I am righteous
to more than myself.

Non-sequitur. Look up the meaning of self-righteous.

Listen: you were given disproofs of your inane
conjectures MANY times. And yet you go back to
posting _the same conjectures_. That is

irritating.

Listen, I am sorry you are annoyed. I am never cruel.

You are defacing this site. I am sorry if it
annoys you, but I will take a shit in your garden
if I need to. I am not cruel, I just want to feel
better with my intestines cleared up. I don't
care if the community disapproves of this, but
it works for me. I am sorry if you do not like
this.

On top of that, it is clear that you know
nothing
about primes,

SEE DEFINITION ABOVE.

SEE 6+ posts of you not knowing that a prime >2

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

must be odd. This is as basic as it gets about primes, and it is a big mystery to you. You know nothing but the definition, so spare me the empty technicalities.

Attention to detail. Group like

ideas together
to guide streamlined logic through a narrative thread.

??

yet you throw around inane conjectures.

THAT is irritating.

Franky, I find the word "that" irritating and entirely unnecessary as a participle of speech 95% of the time it is used. I do not (or have not to this point until now) expressed this.

But you use "this" instead, in an unclear way?

I do not

go around attacking users of the phrase nor will I as it is (for the most part unrelated academically to mathematics), however the more it is used generally the less command the individual has over language.

I can only be so explicit. I would think it is clear from the context what I mean by it.

I am not a conspiracy theorist. I do not enjoy accusations. I have an utter distaste for bitter

debate with those of less maturity or

decency.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

Of course you are the mature and decent one here,
lenient on yourself and harsh on others.

Guess what: your actions seem neither mature nor
decent to us, but you seem to have way too much trouble seeing our perspective. You don't even try
to, and repeatedly make yourself out to be a victim.

That is not mature, not decent.

Condescending and self-righteous, as usual.

Truth. Most on Sci.Math are less mature than me and I
have an utter distaste for their behavior.

We are not seeking your approval.

I do not judge, but if I do it is righteous. I make
no divisions about
it.

Of course not. Because you are perfectly impartial.

Posting half-baked garbage like you do is NOT
decent. Is that too hard for you to understand?

It is not decent to you. I will apologize to you if
it annoys you but
something larger is at stake.

Yes, we all have to eat shit so that you look for
the truth that matters to you. No one appointed you
official truth-seeker to be done at everyone's expense.
You tread on us and trash our site, you get trashed
in return. This is how it works outside of your Hollywood self-involved and superficial ,script view of the
world.

I will take a shit in your garden and apologize if
it annoys you, but I too, am on a search for truth,
so there is something larger than your feelings at
stake

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

The misspelling is not worse. Your attitude and demeanor and motives are what I call into frame.

I explained myself very explicitly.

This does not equate justification.

Your trashing is hardly justified by anything you have said.

You had a chance to address what I said, you didn't.

By your measure of time. Who is self-revolving, one who measures the actions of others by their own time or one who does so with patience and careful method considering all eventualities and causal relationships?

You repeatedly ignored me when I said it was wrong to repeatedly post the same conjecture after it was repeatedly proven wrong. And I doubt you are considering anything other than your own perspective here.

You are too self-righteous and vain.

I am righteous. I am not vain. I am intelligent. There is not a cruel abusive hair on my head.

Right. Trashing others to satisfy your needs is not abusive. As long as you are on your search for truth, we should allow you to do whatever pleases you and ignore our needs, o master, o king of thy self-involved and self-adoring.

Enjoy your self-delusions and your circular self-righteous view where you are the pure and always a victim, never responsible for what you get.

This puts me at least

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

shoulder's length
above in wisdom of those who cuss and act like
demonic children

You may not like my cussing, but I am not looking for your approval. And I am not a believer, so your "demonic" is nonsense superstitious garbage to me. And your actions speak louder than your words, specially your condescension. Spare me your lectures and remember that it is just you that adolizes yourself and consider yourself to be all good and pure.

delighting in the ridicule of others.

Yes, for no reason whatsoever, right?

This puts you at length with all the religious hypocrites who burnt others at the stake too.

I am simply looking for some answers. If you have
not
read it, please read below my open letter. It may
shed some light on the case.

End does not justify the means. You trash my site
I trash you back. That simple.

Holier than thou, as I expected.

You spoke it.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

And I meant it. And I still believe so.

Friday, April 3, 2009
An Open Letter to the Moral and Scientific

Community:

A Quote:

"If smart people all had Ph.D.'s we would not

have

light bulbs." —
Martin Musatov speaking on American Entrepreneur

and

Innovator Thomas
Edison

Preface: "Computational Complexity"

So much of what I have seen since I have began
studying computational complexity simply amazes

me. I have come from an

outsiders perspective
peering into this vast new world where obvious

things

hide themselves
and complex things take center stage to be

studied

like pellets of
sand beneath a microscope. I will say this one

thing:

I have never
been treated with more disdain in an academic
setting.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

And, of course, you ignore the possibility that
you

may have at least some fault in this. No, you
automatically make yourself out to be the victim.

Because you believe yourself to be so pure.

I am pure. I do not compare.

Yes you do.

This itself is irritating. Self-righteousness
is irritating. And you are self-righteous and
seem to lack any self-awareness. This is annoying
too.

Things are not always what they seem.

I have had

M.I.T. Assistant Professor Scott Aaronson

publicly

threaten to contact
my Internet Service Provider and call me a "goon"

for

disproving his
theorem publicly and by some means yet

unexplained

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

motivating him to
apologize to all his readers for allowing my

actions

as though he
should have been guarding against them.

And , of course, you will not quote Aaronson's
version of the incident, which basic ethics would
require you to do.

I did. I cited what he said and also his reaction.

No. You cited your version of what he said, and
your description of his reaction. Would he
agree with you on your depiction ?

This is unfair and dishonest on your part. So much
h for your
questioning me and my motives when you are so
dishonest yourself.

When have I been dishonest?. Prove it or retract.
I backed up my proof that you called yourself
a prodigy. Back this up or retract it.

Not a word I spoke was untrue.

Different people have different versions of events.

I have been

called a "troll",
a "crank", and "couch boy" the last of these I

have

no idea what the colloquial means. I have had my

I.P. address blocked from contributing

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

to Wikipedia and have been sent threatening
emails

from Wikipedia
administrators saying, "Wikipedia doesn't need
you."

And , of course, as usual, you are just a victim,
and
you will not look into yourself to see if you may
have
played _at least_ a role on being treated this
way.

A victim is a victim. There is never an excuse for
this behavior in academia.

Nor, in our view, there is an excuse for your
behavior, your trashing.

Ethics 101: If person 'A' slashes person 'B's' tires
and person 'B'
shoots him, who is wrong?

Both. This is why actions need be addressed
separately as in a standard of ethics.

And, again, you are being dishonest and unfair
in here: you should attempt to describe the position
of
Wikipedia, and not your conveniently distorted
version
of it that makes you look good. You are very harsh
on others but very easy on yourself.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

This are your words. I do not agree.

Then give a reference to their position _as stated by them_ , and not by you.

Since I began pursuing my proof of computational complexity my Wikipedia profile for my work as a screenwriter (which had remained untouched for the better part of three years) was immediately flagged as "non-notable" and deleted. And all because the mathematics and code

I

was inputting was too advanced for wiki language to swallow without causing system problems and offending apparently some very

sensitive

people.

Correlation is not causation.

This is _your_ version of things. Again, you fail to describe and/or you are oversimplifying the opposing position.

Done in brevity. Explain the good reason to discredit my work as a screenwriter following this. It is punishment or attempt to discredit for non-related matter.

I would have to know _their_ position in _their_ words, and not just your version of events.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

And all

over a tiny little problem in theoretical
computer

science called
P=NP.

It is not by any means a tiny little problem.

As the language suggests, it must not be given the
reaction. I will
try to be less subtle with you and more direct.

Do not condescend me. I do not consider you in
anyway to be my superior, and I will not be talked
down to by you. So much for your decency. Hypocrite.

Basically, as the case may certainly be there
seem to
be a lot of
people out there absolutely insistent that "P"
does
not equal "NP".

Right. And you are not repeatedly pushing your
P=NP position in just-about every post of yours?.

I stated a fact. No judgment.

You are being a hypocrite.

Stating facts does not a hypocrite make.

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

But I have to wonder, if it is only theory we are debating here, what is so vested by this people

that they defend an insistent of an

impossibility as if it were the holy grail?

The same thing that is vested in your repeatedly pushing that P=NP.

Explain this. I am arguing my case to give a million dollars to cure childhood cancer.

So they should ignore their genuine belief that P=NP so you can do this?. They should ignore their lives' work and agree with you even if they are not convinced by it?

How is it similar?

How about _their_ motives.?

And again, you are oversimplifying

others' position.

Explain, please.

I am too exhausted. Potaito, Potato. Too many barriers to communication, too many different assumptions and perspectives to be able to communicate effectively.

It just

Re: "Musatov"...

Re: "Musatov"...

does not make
sense to me.

This does not mean that it does not make sense.
Have you considered the possibility that you are
being shallow?. I think you are not.

I am glad we both agree I am not being shallow.

I never said that.

Though in complete
honesty this may have been a mistyped sentence on
your part I thank
you.

You seem to

be unable to put yourself in anyone else's place.

I put myself in the place of every person who is
abused and bullied
and cussed at on Sci.Math, not because I enjoy being
the victime, but
because I can take it and it needs to be stood up to
for it to change.

You are unsufferably self-righteous.

There is suffering for a righteous man.

Look up 'self-righteous'

I will say this, especially