

Re: Pravachol verses Lipitor ?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2004-09/1004.html>

From: Zee (*fresh~horses_at_despammed.com*)

Date: 09/12/04

Date: 11 Sep 2004 19:28:13 -0700

Still trying to...

Right. There is no treatment, there is no cure and there is no recovery, beyond what nature will do on its own and rehabilitation to allow one to better function and that limited to how damaged one has been. Some severely injured statin users are being misdiagnosed with Parkinson's, ALS, MS and profound multiple strokes, until they get into see one of the four or five doctors in the U.S. who know what it is (Phillips at Scripps Mercy San Diego being one). There is no recovery for any of those either, if we mean--back to the way they were.

It is a very hard thing to bear. It was avoidable. And you know what? Each and every one of those people thought they had to take the drug. The medical profession who get their drugs continuing education from pharma (the same pharma Rennie decries) told them so. The ads told them so: They had a serious, serious health problem. Name it there is someone on this newsgroup who represents each one of those serious, serious problems and is taking a statin putting up with side effect because they "have to take it."

I can't begin to fathom what a statin drug will do to a heart already damaged. To one who has already had bypass surgery. How many I wonder do not recognize the symptoms they are experiencing are likely from statins not from their original health "problem".

There is no recovery for the profound damage to the myelin sheath which will happen to all who take statins eventually if the coenzyme q10 theory of statin damage is correct. If there was people with MS would have 'recovered' by now from their nerve damage. It is similar if not identical.

Zee