

Re: clinton surgery question

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2004-09/2064.html>

From: Happy Dog (*happydog_at_sympatico.ca*)

Date: 09/25/04

Date: Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400

"MU" <munospam@fastmail.fm> wrote>> Grade one, maybe. Idiot Mu. You claimed that you know what doesn't happen

>> *in the afterlife WRT reqard and punishment. You claim to know this*

>> *through*

>> *god's teachings etc.*

>

> *I claim to know that I learned that I do not know? Nope, never said that*

> *Unhappy TrollPuppy.*

You said that reward and punishment are not meted out in the afterlife. (I said this was an assumption and you said it was incorrect.)

>

>> *Then you claim that you have no real knowledge or any*

>> *need for it. And you also claim to be a skeptic. This is patently*

>> *hallucinatory.*

>

> *Maybe to you. Makes perfect sense and works quite well for me. One can be*

> *skeptical without the need to know; you confuse "desire to know" with need*

> *to know. I desire to know, and will, someday but I have no need to know*

> *until then. God's Plan.*

A skeptic who doesn't need to ask questions? How cute.

>

>>>> *You claim to be a skeptic but*

>>>> *feel that "God's teachings" are axiomatic.*

>>>

>>> *I never said that. You made that up out of thin air.*

>

>> *Nope. You can't explain how god's teachings are transmitted and how you*

>> *arrive at a particular viewpoint when most of the rest of the world has a*

>> *different one.*

>

> *Sure I can. As to what the rest of the world knows is of little concern to*

> *me.*

So much for skepticism. Anyone with a skeptical bone would ask why Christian adherents are in the minority. But you just don't care to know.

sci.med.cardiology: Re: clinton surgery question

>

>> *All that adds up to a POV which requires god's teachings to*

>> *be self-evident to any right-thinking individual. Like you, no?*

>