

Re: clinton surgery question

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2004-09/2247.html>

From: MU (*munospam_at_fastmail.fm*)

Date: 09/26/04

Date: Sun, 26 Sep 2004 11:14:33 -0400

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *You said that reward and punishment are not meted out in the afterlife. (I said this was an assumption and you said it was incorrect.)*

Never said that.

>> *One can be skeptical without the need to know; you confuse "desire to know" with need to know. I desire to know, and will, someday but I have no need to know until then. God's Plan.*

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *A skeptic who doesn't need to ask questions? How cute.*

I ask questions. Read more carefully.

> *So much for skepticism. Anyone with a skeptical bone would ask why Christian adherents are in the minority. But you just don't care to know.*

Correct. Lemmings running off cliffs are in the majority at that time. A better question is why is it that you find the need to be in the majority to be right?

>> *God lets me know what He feels I need to know. Faith not right thinking opens that channel of knowledge.*

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *So why do people of different faiths get different messages? How do you know that yours is true?*

Satan has his hand in this world for one. I know mine is true because God has been kind enough to reaffirm me with increasing amounts of faith and comfort in His Plan.

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

>>>>> *So when I ask you to show us, in
>>>>> detail, exactly what the no-shit teachings are that eclipse all the
>>>>> other
>>>>> no-shit teachings of other gods, you'll put it in a succinct and
>>>>> credible
>>>>> form. Not.*

>> *I don't know what a "no shit" teaching is. Try again. No shit, please do.*

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *Yes you do mu mu. It's the real version of universal reality that the
> faithful, oops, Christian faithful, understand. But, like I said, can't
> explain in a rational way to skeptics or non-believers.*

Difficult to explain something to someone who hasn't the tools to understand. And you won't get those tools from God until you ask for them.

>>>> *See above regarding drinking.*
>>>
>>> *Gee MU, you've really seized on this particular insult. Projecting
>>> perhaps?*
>>
>> *Projecting what? You post like you are imbibed, that's all.*

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *Occasionally. Better than drunk on Jesus.*

How would you know?