

Re: What about VYTORIN?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2004-12/0553.html>

From: outrider (outrider_at_despammed.com)

Date: 12/01/04

Date: 1 Dec 2004 12:41:46 -0800

Your posts to me, your manner of addressing me, your language, is consistently abusive. I do not respond to abusive posts. I have noticed you don't take this approach with Rind. Harris. Or beachhouse.

If you pay attention to what I do you'll see I do engage with people who correct me and inform me, but do not do so not abusively.

I would no more engage in continuing dialogue with you Steve than I would with someone behaving abusively toward me in person.

Zee

Steve Marcus wrote:

> "outrider" <outrider@despammed.com> wrote in message
> news:1101912892.198936.38820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > *This is not about scoring points Steve. It's about education.*
>
> *True. However, *your posts make it appear otherwise*. You post
*your**
> *position. Then you refuse to discuss it further. By eliminating the*

> *discussion you limit the "education" on a given topic to *your* point
of
> view (which is congruent with the point of view of your sources).*
However,
> **your* POV isn't always correct, no matter how many sources you might
find
> that seem to support it.*
>
>>
> > *I'm under no obligation to respond to you or argue with you when we
> > disagree.*
>
> *You are under no obligation to do anything at all.. However,
assuming that
> you have in fact undertaken to be in the education business, and that
you*

sci.med.cardiology: Re: What about VYTORIN?

- > *are not merely in the propaganda business, it would seem that you are*
- > *obligated to *discuss* your position. Which you refuse to do. The*
- > *implication of that refusal is ...?*
- >
- >> *Nor am I under any obligation to respond to every comment you*
- >> *make if I do respond.*
- >
- > *If "every comment" I make is a discussion of your position, your*
- sources,*
- > *etc., then see above. Again, if you are trying to teach, you need to*
- > *discuss what you are teaching, because the mere fact that you expound*
- > *something doesn't make you correct.*
- >
- >>
- >> *You disagree with what Rind has said. Fine. Take it up with him. I*
- >> *don't disagree with what he said.*
- >
- > *Why don't you disagree with what he said? If you are in the*
- education*
- > *business, that's the crux of the matter. Or do you expect Janis (and*
- > *others) to simply accept what *you* say? Wouldn't Janis learn a bit*
- more,*
- > *and be a bit more confident of what she had learned, if there were a*
- > *thorough discussion rather than a simple ipse dixit?*
- >
- > *I can find credentialed sources that claim to be able to prevent*
- and/or cure*
- > *cardiac problems by laying on hands. If I were answering someone's*
- question*
- > *about that topic, would it be sufficient to cite those sources, state*
- I**
- > *don't disagree with them and then ignore any comment that questions*
- what the*
- > *sources say? Is that the philosophy of education to which you*
- subscribe?*
- >
- >> *And I think he has made a good point*
- >> *that Janis (and anyone) should consider.*
- >
- > *Why? His point is that there are no "clinical endpoint trials" for*
- Zetia.*
- > *However, there are clinical trials which demonstrate, rather*
- conclusively,*
- > *the importance of LDL levels being low (yes, it's statistical data,*
- and so*
- > *there will always be data points outside of the bell curve) and*
- trials which*

Re: What about VYTORIN?

sci.med.cardiology: Re: What about VYTORIN?

- > *have yet to show that Zetia causes harm. Is it logical to test the drug for*
- > *10 years to see if more than a statistically insignificant percentage of the*
- > *population might develop nonreversible side effects? After 10 years of*
- > *successful trials, should we then wait 20 years to be sure?*
- >
- > >
- > > *The article on Crestor discusses the drawbacks and benefits of all the*
- > > *statins. I think it's very balanced.*
- > >
- > >
- http://www.medicationsense.com/articles/july_sept_04/crestor_truth.html
- >
- > *Besides the point. I snipped the Crestor material because it was off topic*
- > *with respect to Janis' question.*
- >
- > > *Zee*
- > >
- >
- > *Steve*
- > --
- > *The above posting is neither a legal opinion nor legal advice,*
- > *because we do not have an attorney-client relationship, and*
- > *should not be construed as either. This posting does not*
- > *represent the opinion of my employer, but is merely my personal*
- > *view. To reply, delete _spamout_ and replace with the numeral 3*