

Re: Dr. Atkins' Dietetic Revolution: Mu Critique?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2004-12/2456.html>

From: John Smyth@© (*Not_Fonda_Kerry_at_hotmail.com*)

Date: 12/21/04

Date: Tue, 21 Dec 2004 00:03:12 -0800

"Don Kirkman" <donkirk@covad.net> wrote in message
news:4hh9s0paacmh4pg39p8hf9qpc5vlltp67@4ax.com...

> *It seems to me I heard somewhere that Dr. Andrew B. Chung, MD/PhD wrote*
> *in article <1103255315.f29dfe6120788f82543c12c4993a36f6@teranews>:*

>

>> *Don Kirkman wrote:*

>

>>> *It seems to me I heard somewhere that Dr. Andrew B. Chung, MD/PhD wrote*
>>> *in article <1102702794.c6bd5774ee877a3e0006348000395b77@teranews>:*

>

>>> > *Bob Mauri wrote:*

>

>>> >> *On Fri, 10 Dec 2004 16:35:48 GMT, Luna*

>>> >> *<lunachick@NOSPAMmindspring.com>*

>>> >> *wrote:*

>

>>> >> > *In article <nqs90pe5ro9v\$.15f1023l3q7y9.dlg@40tude.net>,*

>>> >> > *MU <munospam@fastmail.fm> wrote:*

>

>>> >> >> *On 10 Dec 2004 02:05:28 GMT, jamie wrote:*

>

>>> >> >> > *Plagiarize.*

>

>>> >> >> *Nope, never took ownership of it. You missed the ? too. Hate*

>>> >> >> *blinds the*

>>> >> >> *truth.*

>

>>> >> > *Posting something to usenet that was not authored by you, without*

>>> >> > *attributing the source, is plagiarizing. You published it to an*

>>> >> > *electronic*

>>> >> > *medium without giving credit to the author.*

>

>>> >> *Not only that, but it could be considered a copyright violation.*

>

>>> > *It is my understanding that it is legally impossible for someone to*

>>> > *anonymously (i.e. under a pseudonym) steal credit for anything.*

>

sci.med.cardiology: Re: Dr. Atkins' Dietetic Revolution: Mu Critique?

>>> *Since you don't seem to have an LLD among your degrees please tell us
>>> how you reached that understanding. Truth discernment at work, or have
>>> you actually read something about it?*

>

>> *My ability to understand things arises from God's gift of intellect.*

>

>> *Hope this information enlightens you.*

>

> *It doesn't because you didn't answer the question. In fact, you changed
> to a totally unrelated topic. You claimed some reason to believe a
> certain legal doctrine, and I want to know exactly the basis for your
> claim. Intuition/brain chemistry/tradition/dream states/etc. don't play
> out well in legal matters.*

>

> *What *precisely* is your basis for "understanding that it is legally
> impossible for someone to anonymously (i.e. under a pseudonym) steal
> credit for anything"?*

>

> *My reasons for not believing your claim include a few years as an
> investigator in the criminal legal system, and several more years
> working in a law office with a couple hundred lawyers, working on
> committees that included US Attorneys (one of whom became head of the
> DEA and a judge and another who became head of the FBI), Immigration and
> correctional agents, numerous police chiefs, prosecutors, public
> defenders, and judges, some of whom now sit on state and federal appeals
> courts. I'll put my "God's gift of intellect" against yours any day on
> legal principles even though I'm not a lawyer.*

> --

> *Don*

> *donkirk@covad.net*

Wow! You are indeed most amazing. This begs the question oh almighty enlightened one – can you pee standing up?