

## Re: Dr. Atkins' Dietetic Revolution: Mu Critique?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2004-12/2492.html>

---

**From:** Don Kirkman ([donkirk\\_at\\_covad.net](mailto:donkirk_at_covad.net))

**Date:** 12/21/04

Date: Tue, 21 Dec 2004 12:51:25 -0800

It seems to me I heard somewhere that John Smyth®© wrote in article <vqqdnS1BOd1CRVrcRVn-uw@comcast.com>:

> "Don Kirkman" <[donkirk@covad.net](mailto:donkirk@covad.net)> wrote in message  
> news:4hh9s0paacmh4pg39p8hf9qpc5vllptp67@4ax.com...  
>> It seems to me I heard somewhere that Dr. Andrew B. Chung, MD/PhD wrote  
>> in article <1103255315.f29dfe6120788f82543c12c4993a36f6@teranews>:

>>> Don Kirkman wrote:

>>>> It seems to me I heard somewhere that Dr. Andrew B. Chung, MD/PhD wrote  
>>>> in article <1102702794.c6bd5774ee877a3e0006348000395b77@teranews>:

[...]

>>> My ability to understand things arises from God's gift of intellect.

>>> Hope this information enlightens you.

>> It doesn't because you didn't answer the question. In fact, you changed  
>> to a totally unrelated topic. You claimed some reason to believe a  
>> certain legal doctrine, and I want to know exactly the basis for your  
>> claim. Intuition/brain chemistry/tradition/dream states/etc. don't play  
>> out well in legal matters.

>> What *\*precisely\** is your basis for "understanding that it is legally  
>> impossible for someone to anonymously (i.e. under a pseudonym) steal  
>> credit for anything"?

>> My reasons for not believing your claim include a few years as an  
>> investigator in the criminal legal system, and several more years  
>> working in a law office with a couple hundred lawyers, working on  
>> committees that included US Attorneys (one of whom became head of the  
>> DEA and a judge and another who became head of the FBI), Immigration and  
>> correctional agents, numerous police chiefs, prosecutors, public  
>> defenders, and judges, some of whom now sit on state and federal appeals  
>> courts. I'll put my "God's gift of intellect" against yours any day on  
>> legal principles even though I'm not a lawyer.

sci.med.cardiology: Re: Dr. Atkins' Dietetic Revolution: Mu Critique?

>Wow! You are indeed most amazing. This begs the question oh almightly  
>enlightened one – can you pee standing up?

Do you have even the faintest idea of what's at issue here? You may not know, but I'm sure Chung does, that even St. Paul was driven to boast at times when his credibility and authority were questioned. I've simply demonstrated that I have a basis for my opinions on legal matters, while Chung has not demonstrated any such basis and doesn't seem inclined to offer any.

--  
Don  
donkirk@covad.net