

Re: Statins and side effects.....

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2006-02/msg00126.html>

- *From:* "Sharon Hope" <shope@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 5 Feb 2006 13:46:04 -0800
-

"Robert" <Robertsnosпам2@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:x9OdnS8nDeud8nveRVn-iQ@xxxxxxxxxxx

"Tony Wesley" <tonywesley@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1139172702.441477.148300@xx

trumpetfish@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Went to google search and typed in "Statins and side effects".....many many pages of personal posts, all complaining of similiar problems. I should have worded the fact as 90 % of those complaining were muscle problems.

And so you admit that the premise upon which your chain of arguments is built is false.

It sounds very scientific to me. If you compare the number of posts by people complaining about muscle symptoms compared to those dead people posting who died of heart attacks then the numbers are very one sided.

So, you are claiming that every single person who does NOT take a statin WILL die of a heart attack? Or is it that you are claiming they have already died of heart attack? Also, how about those who do not post because they have died of statin AEs, such as rhabdomyolysis or statin-triggered ALS?

Further, you are assuming that the complaints of adverse effects are about

Re: Statins and side effects.....

problems less serious than a heart attack?

For the record, the disabling and debilitating serious adverse effects of statins can take far longer to recover from, and can do more damage than, some heart attacks.

This is not to say that the numbers are comparable, only to show that your comment is baseless and facetious and that it trivializes the pain and suffering from statin AEs that is severe enough to disable, debilitate, kill – serious enough to cause people to make the effort to seek out confirmation that the statins are responsible, and to post their stories in hopes of identifying a treatment toward a full recovery (currently nonexistent), and in hopes of warning others so they may not need to suffer so profoundly.

Your trivialization of this is unbecoming.

.