

Re: Statins and side effects.....

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2006-02/msg00146.html>

- *From:* listener <listener@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 06 Feb 2006 01:51:45 GMT
-

listener <listener@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
[news:Xns9761D40875445some1outthere@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Xns9761D40875445some1outthere@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx):

listener <listener@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
[news:Xns9761D2838BCE7some1outthere@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Xns9761D2838BCE7some1outthere@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx):

"Sharon Hope" <shope@xxxxxxx> wrote in
[news:IK6dnTpKjMJOAHveRVn-
pg@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:IK6dnTpKjMJOAHveRVn-pg@xxxxxxxxxxx):

If you recall a study that shows statin neurotoxic nerve damage to

both

brain and peripheral nerves that resolved quickly, then produce it – regardless of whom you claim may have posted it.

You can't because it does not exist. Just another empty claim as you

nip

at

heels with ad hominem attacks and never ever offer anything

constructive.

Why would I bother to go to all the trouble to find those studies,

studies

Re: Statins and side effects.....

which YOU originally posted, when you've now gone and changed the criteria?

You said:

"For the record, the disabling and debilitating serious adverse effects of statins can take far longer to recover from, and can do

more

damage than, some heart attacks."

I was responding to that claim. Not the new claim you are NOW making.

It's

hard keeping up with all the twists and turns and misdirection you

make

in

order to justify your statements. It's bizarre and maddening behavior.

L.

I did find one of the studies.

<http://tinyurl.com/99epe>

As one can see from the that thread, not much has changed in the way Sharon makes 2 and 2 equal 5.

L.

Sorry: Just scroll to the top of that thread for the study.

L.

.

Re: Statins and side effects.....