

Re: Andrew, I have a question....

Re: Andrew, I have a question....

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.cardiology/2007-07/msg00486.html>

- *From:* J Harshaw <bellyflute@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 29 Jul 2007 16:19:34 -0700
-

On Jul 29, 11:58 am, Rod <kc0...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Andy is Evil wrote:

"Andrew B. Chung, MD/PhD" <heartd...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in news:1185664807.772334.251900@xx:

Sock Notice: heartdoc9 in use. Socks 11 thru 17, and "andrew" busy having spam launchers reloaded.

Where to complain recently posted in other sci.med.cardiology threads, example:
<http://groups.google.co.uk/group/sci.med.cardiology/msg/e8013ad2973e2...hl=en&>

Rod wrote:

Andrew, I am on three medications to control high blood pressure and a rythm irregularity, Toprol XL, Enalapril and Hcz. I have arthritis in my hips and lower back. What kind of pain killer can I take with these meds, if any, that will not interfere with them ?

Rod

Would suggest you ask your doctor about Limbrel (I do not have any ties with the company that makes this product). It effectively

Re: Andrew, I have a question....

Re: Andrew, I have a question....

reduces pain of osteoarthritis by reducing inflammation
while not
increasing CV risk as traditional non-aspirin NSAIDS have
a tendency
of doing.

This would be a temporary measure until you lose all the
VAT, which is
likely the underlying cause of your osteoarthritis.

Be hungry... be healthy... be blessed:

Be sure that if you complained of headlice, Andrew would stretch medical
science to show the cause was all your VAT, so he could cynically piggyback
his "eating approach".

<http://HeartMDPhD.com/PressRelease>

SCAM ALERT
SPAM SITE

Prayerfully in Jesus' awesome love,

Hollow words when uttered cynically by Andrew to spam his scam.

Andy is Evil

Okay, you wanna play ? I'll play your way then. Do you believe in
innocent until proven guilty ? Yes or no ? I've seen no evidence
of Andrews guilt in anything here, and his advice to me certainly
checked out with my Doctor! You on the other hand have furnished all
manner of posts defaming, slandering and railing against a man who
in return has exhibited a kindness and tolerance towards you that
you have not extended towards him.

You wanna play, play with this fact: you repeated behavior towards
Andrew in your posts demonstrates and meets the guidelines set down
in federal law having sway over internet stalking and harassment.

Now, you want to talk about wrong, lets talk about you and what
you're doing, and what you've done. I don't appreciate you using
a thread which I addressed to Andrew to defame and stalk him. If
I had wanted your advice then i would ask for it, but your own
actions towards Andrew indicate that your advice is as worthless

Re: Andrew, I have a question....

Re: Andrew, I have a question....

as your actions towards Andrew.

Now, if you can't prove any of your claims against the man then stop stalking him, and I'm not talking about previous posts archived in Google. I'm speaking of something that will stand up in court, and if you can't do that then your attacks on him are unjustified and hateful, and pretty darned worthless.

Now if Andrew is pushing a diet, then by way of the value in his previous advice I would say there will be some benefit to it and I'll hear him out.

Do you understand ? I don't in any way want my posts associated with any of your personal attacks. I know this is difficult, but do you believe you can manage to tend to your own affairs for once ??

Rod- Hide quoted text -

- Show quoted text -

You're not doing your high blood pressure any good foaming all over your keyboard, are you?

Asshole.

.