

## Re: Florida Amalgam Lawsuit Dismissed

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2004-06/1089.html>

---

**From:** mdd ([mdd\\_at\\_trip.net](mailto:mdd_at_trip.net))

**Date:** 06/13/04

Date: Sat, 12 Jun 2004 21:15:19 -0500

May you have every tooth filled, twice.

"jwndds" <[jnelsondds@shaw.ca](mailto:jnelsondds@shaw.ca)> wrote in message  
news:RoLyc.742576\$Ig.501597@pd7tw2no...

> *Three cheers for real science.*

>

> *jwn dds*

>

> *"M.a.r.k P.r.o.b.e.r.t-June 12, 2004" <M.a.r.k P.r.o.b.e.r.t*

> *06-12-04@lombercartel.com> wrote in message*

> *news:eSKyc.18807\$0g3.6943774@news4.srv.hcvlly.cv.net...*

>>

>> *"Mark Thorson" <nospam@sonic.net> wrote in message*

>> *news:40CB566A.504CE766@sonic.net...*

>>> *"We are very pleased that the court has dismissed the ADA*

>>> *from one more amalgam lawsuit," said Peter M. Sfikas, ADA*

>>> *chief legal counsel. "Each dismissal represents another huge*

>>> *victory for organized dentistry and the public."*

>>>

>>> *See the whole article here:*

>>>

>>

>>

>

<http://www.ada.org/prof/resources/pubs/adanews/adanewsarticle.asp?articleid=922>

>>

>> *The article says:*

>>

>> *"Judge Mihok also dismissed the Florida Dental Association from the  
suit,*

>> *granting its "motion to dismiss" and "motion to strike" on grounds that  
> the*

>> *complaint failed to state the cause of action."*

>>

>> *This means that the plaintiffs could not come up with enough facts to  
make*

> *a*

>> *claim., IOW, they were blowing smoke. Just like the other anti-science*

>> *lawsuits that were dismissed for similar reasons.*

>>

sci.med.dentistry: Re: Florida Amalgam Lawsuit Dismissed

>>  
>>  
>  
>