

## Re: WB, it's time for your Quiz

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2004-08/1196.html>

---

**From:** Jan (jdrew63929\_at\_aol.com)

**Date:** 08/12/04

Date: 12 Aug 2004 04:20:11 GMT

>Subject: Re: WB, it's time for your Quiz  
>From: clintonz@prodigy.net (Clinton C Zimmerman)  
>Date: 8/11/2004 7:49 PM Pacific Standard Time  
>Message-id: <a4025728.0408111949.1e5f106@posting.google.com>  
>  
>Steven Fawks <tuthjockey@earthlink.net> wrote in message  
>news:<dCwSc.17857\$9Y6.10670@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...  
>> Since we're talking and not calling each other morons,  
>>  
>> Is it *\*possible\** that the dead man with a rope around his neck was just  
>> hanging a Halloween decoration when he accidentally slipped on the  
>> chair, caught his head in the noose, and inadvertantly hanged himself?  
>>  
>> Yes, but it is *\*extremely\** unlikely.  
>>  
>> Could a shoddy dentist with incompetent assistants use inferior  
>> equipment and materials in such a way that could *\*possibly\** lead to an  
>> amalgam filling with excess mercury?  
>>  
>> Yes, but it is *\*extremely\** unlikely.  
>>  
>> Back when I used amalgam (1979-84), I used Tytin® or Dispersalloy®.  
>  
>Interesting, this, actually double filling would probably be placed  
>around 1980.  
>  
>Well, it may be relatively unlikely but what does Murphy say?  
>My old dentist did seem to fit the Bill for unprofessional,  
>in retrospect though he seemed competent at the time. He actually  
>left on very bad terms after a bizzare feud with his associate.  
>  
>My concern is also methylization of any increased amount of Hg  
>escaping from the surface of a poorly mixed filling especially if  
>there was a lot of decay sitting on top of the filling (which) there  
>was a tremedous amount when it was removed. Remember mehtyl mercury is  
>much more toxic than elemental mercury. I posted a research article  
>about methyl mercury and amalgam a few days ago.

sci.med.dentistry: Re: WB, it's time for your Quiz

>

>*You've made many interesting quantitative statements in this and the  
>other thread, some of which I disagree with and I'll have to evaluate  
>them later  
>after I've read more.*

>

>

>*PS*

>*(Don't worry Jan, I think all amalgam has the potential for adverse  
>health effects and increased Hg emission regardless of the mixing  
>method and I would never knowingly put it in my mouth).*

Yep, so would others if they had any idea what was going in their mouth.

The don't, thanks to the many liars.

Jan