

Re: Which phosphor plate system would you recommend?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2004-10/0070.html>

From: Dr Steve (*nospam_at_home.net*)

Date: 09/30/04

Date: Thu, 30 Sep 2004 16:46:44 GMT

I cannot speak for direct experience with Orex. The previous third scanner (prior to this design) was not well received and was conceptually less well designed. I have only seen the new design on journal advertisements.

What you could do is ask your software technicians which scanner they have the least trouble getting their imaging software to work with.

Obviously after almost 6 years with no troubles, I am biased in thinking that Denoptix is better. But, that is my "bias".

Make sure you make it to the next dental meeting in your area and compare each scanner physically in person on the same day. You might find one or two which seem particularly awkward to you.

--

~+---+---+---+---+---+---

Stephen Mancuso, D.D.S.

Troy, Michigan, USA

.....

This posting is intended for informational or conversational purposes only. Always seek the opinion of a licensed dental professional before acting on the advice or opinion expressed here. Only a dentist who has examined you in person can diagnose your problems and make decisions which will affect your health.

.....

"Bill" <bill@nospamland.com> wrote in message

news:XHV6d.181633\$313.161286@attbi_s03...

> Thanks very much for the detailed info. You are right. It seems to me from

> their web site that ScanX doesn't come with the imaging software like

> Gendex

> does. How about Orex? What do you mean by "skip number 3"? Thanks.

>

> "Dr Steve" <nospam@home.net> wrote in message

> news:sPA6d.11663\$Qv5.957@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...

>> Skip number three.

>>

>> Scan-X will scan the plates faster than Denoptix, but take longer to load

>> multiple plates to the scanner. Scan-X did not come out originally with

> any

>> software, so it relied on the major software vendors to re-write their

>> programs to work with Scan-X. This caused a bunch of early problems, but

>> should be sorted out now.

Re: Which phosphor plate system would you recommend?

sci.med.dentistry: Re: Which phosphor plate system would you recommend?

>>
>> Scan-X makes a big deal out of scanning a single plate about 40 seconds
>> faster than Denoptix, but if you are loading 4BWx or a FMx, you have to
>> stand there and feed the plates in one at a time. So the faster scanning
>> time only applies if you are doing one plate. It will scan a
>> panoramic/cephametric plate faster as that is only one plate.
>>
>> I think any comparison over a few seconds here and there is meaningless.
>> For endo, you like instant and you need a hard sensor for that. For
>> everything else, a 90 second scan is less time than it normally takes to
> be
>> re