

Re: Informed Consent Discussion

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-04/msg00304.html>

- *From:* Dr. Steve <drsteve@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 03 Apr 2005 00:52:43 GMT
-

On 1 Apr 2005 13:29:19 -0800, clintonz@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

>
>" I just never expected to see you support Joel in anything written
>here."
>
>I think we actually agree on many issues.
>We both agree that it is not known what epidemiological effects
>Hg has.

agree

>We both agree that Amalgam loses a substantial amount of Hg.

We might argue about the term "substantial."

>We both agree that placement technique can affect the corrosion
>of the amalgam.

But, we disagree on the significance of it.

>If I took time to print out the adverse reaction reports he would
>agree to that too (that they had been filed).

Eliminate one narrow topic and we could agree on many things.

...

Stephen Mancuso, D.D.S.
Troy, Michigan, USA

I am writing on a Tablet-PC,so forgive me if the PC misreads my handwriting.

.

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Informed Consent Discussion***
 - ◇ *From:* clintonz
 - Prev by Date: ***Re: Ping: Stove Pipe***

Re: Informed Consent Discussion

- Next by Date: ***Re: Jan and God Talk Quite Frequently***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Ping: Stove Pipe***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Informed Consent Discussion***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***