

Re: CRA

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-04/msg01251.html>

- *From:* "Charlie Oster via MedKB.com" <forum@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 19 Apr 2005 05:24:06 GMT
-

Well put, and you're right about a lotta BS getting into print (my cat wants you to show some appreciation that he at least buries his manure). A lot of it, sadly, via NIDR funding. Couldn't agree more it's up to us to be thoughtful information consumers.

Maybe I'm just disappointed. I've always been a huge fan of Dr. C. But now he takes a really crucial topic like occlusion and tells the profession at large that they aren't being smart and discreet about it. That we're dummies who've missed the boat. No data. No review. But he has a friend who's a periodontist and agrees with him. Dentists generally assume there's no relationship between occlusion and perio disease? Maybe in Utah.

—
Message posted via <http://www.medkb.com>

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [Re: CRA](#)
 - ◇ *From:* NOYB
- *References:*
 - ◆ [CRA](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Charlie Oster via MedKB.com
 - ◆ [Re: CRA](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Fawks
- Prev by Date: [*OT: Dietitians Say Splenda Is Not the Same as Sugar*](#)
- Next by Date: [Re: CRA](#)
- Previous by thread: [Re: CRA](#)
- Next by thread: [Re: CRA](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [Date](#)
 - ◆ [Thread](#)