

Re: Why six implants??

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-04/msg01794.html>

- *From:* "Vaughn" <vaughnsimonHATESSPAM@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 27 Apr 2005 10:16:41 GMT
-

"Roy Brown" <roybrown@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:kfBbe.3495\\$gA5.419706@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:kfBbe.3495$gA5.419706@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
> Statistically the chances of a single failure are increasing, but with an
> increasing # of implants the odds of success of the proposed treatment are
> improving.

Exactly my point. "Belt & Suspenders" Just going by the numbers, a case with 3 or 4 implants that is designed to "require" every implant for the success of the case would seem to be risky.

Vaughn

>
> --
> Roy
>
>

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [Re: Why six implants??](#)
◇ *From:* Joel M. Eichen
 - *References:*
 - ◆ [Why six implants??](#)
◇ *From:* Steve_R
 - ◆ [Re: Why six implants??](#)
◇ *From:* Charlie via MedKB.com
 - ◆ [Re: Why six implants??](#)
◇ *From:* Steve R via MedKB.com
 - ◆ [Re: Why six implants??](#)

Re: Why six implants??

◇ *From:* Vaughn

◆ ***Re: Why six implants??***

◇ *From:* Dr Steve

◆ ***Re: Why six implants??***

◇ *From:* Roy Brown

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Root Canal work done when not needed?***
- Next by Date: ***Re: OT: Research on backpackers***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Why six implants??***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Why six implants??***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***