

Re: Rumor has it...

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-05/msg00012.html>

- *From:* "Joel M. Eichen" <joeleichen@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 1 May 2005 09:04:08 -0400
-

Here's the case:

12. After Plaintiffs applied for FDA approval in 2001, and at all times hence to the present day, Quackwatch, NCAHF, Barrett, Baratz, Dodes, and Schissel disseminated and published on the internet and in other media to the public, including Aetna., among others, the Dodes & Schissel Paper and other information regarding the use and efficacy of diagnostic tools, such as the CAVITAT, in the detection of infected cavities within jaw bones, a condition termed neuralgia inducing cavitational osteonecrosis ("NICO").

13. Specifically, said these entities and persons asserted that there is no scientific evidence to support the existence of NICO as a medical condition or the diagnostic methods used to identify the medical condition. They asserted that dental practice which engages the diagnosis of NICO is fraudulent and that the submission of insurance claims for such practice is insurance fraud and is a violation of dental licensing requirements. These assertions were false and misleading and defamatory.

<clintonz@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1114885325.065754.326440@xx

- >
- >>
- >>
- >> EXPLANATION
- >>
- >> Terminology.
- >>
- >> Cavitat is the Denver company that is suing
- >> Aetna for refusal to pay and suing for claiming
- >> that such a thing as NICO even exists.
- >>

Re: Rumor has it...

>> Joel

>

>

> Who sued Aetna? Oh, Aetna, sued Who.

> Well Who is on first? (for the trial).

>

>>

>> PS- The technology is good for treating what

>> is perhaps a non-existent disease.

>

> Well in the FDA trials fo the cavitat they did find

> jaw legions. Cavtiations definatly exit. aetna is

> going to run into BIG trouble trying to prove they

> don't. their only case can be that the cavitat doesn't

> work a lot of the time and some high frequency of misdiagnosis.

>

.

-
- Prev by Date: [**Re: Rumor has it...**](#)
 - Next by Date: [**Re: Rumor has it...**](#)
 - Previous by thread: [**Re: Rumor has it...**](#)
 - Next by thread: [**Re: Rumor has it...**](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [**Date**](#)
 - ◆ [**Thread**](#)